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Abstract

Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)-targeted positron emission tomography (PET) is increasingly being used worldwide as part of the clinical workup for men with prostate cancer. With high overall accuracy for the detection of prostate cancer, PSMA-targeted PET has an increasingly established role in the setting of biochemical failure after primary therapy and an evolving role in the setting of initial disease staging; its utility for guiding management in the setting of metastatic disease is less clear. Although the specificity is high, familiarization with potential pitfalls in the interpretation of PSMA-targeted PET, including knowledge of the causes for false-positive and negative examinations, is critical. The aim of this best practice report is to provide an illustrative discussion of the current and evolving clinical indications for PSMA-targeted PET, as well as a review of physiological radiopharmaceutical biodistribution and potential imaging pitfalls.

Introduction

Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA), also known as glutamate carboxypeptidase II (GCP II), is a transmembrane glycoprotein highly expressed in prostate cancer cells. The glycoprotein acts as a glutamate carboxypeptidase on various substrates and its enzymatic activity allows for the development of specific inhibitors that are internalized after ligand binding. PSMA expression tends to increase with increased pathological Gleason grade and is thought to be upregulated with the emergence of androgen independence. Both gallium-68 (68Ga) and fluorine-18 (18F)-labeled PSMA-specific radiopharmaceuticals are clinically used. 68Ga has a half-life of 68 minutes and is typically derived from a generator, while 18F has a half-life of 110 minutes and is produced using a cyclotron. The longer half-life of 18F facilitates off-site production and shipping of 18F-labeled PSMA-targeted radiopharmaceuticals. Further, these radiopharmaceuticals are thought to be associated with higher image resolution. Today, the most used PSMA-targeted positron emission tomography (PET) radiopharmaceuticals in Canada are 68Ga-PSMA-11, 18F-DCFPyL, and 18F-PSMA-1007. The use of either hybrid PET/computed tomography (CT) or PET/magnetic resonance (MR) scanners with PSMA-targeted radiopharmaceuticals allows anatomical localization and characterization of PSMA-avid lesions.

In this best practice report, we: 1) review image acquisition and normal biodistribution of PSMA-targeted radiopharmaceuticals, including common physiological or non-prostate cancer entities, which may show increased radiopharmaceutical uptake; 2) discuss the role of PSMA-targeted PET/CT and PET/MR for common prostate cancer indications, including illustrative cases; and 3) make recommendations for the incorporation of PSMA-targeted PET into care paths in the Canadian context. Although we discuss the most common PSMA-targeted radiopharmaceuticals in general, our figures will focus on 18F-DCFPyL, currently the most commonly used PSMA-targeted radiopharmaceutical in Canada.
Integrated whole-body PET/CT and PET/MRI protocol

The acquisition protocol for PSMA-targeted PET/CT and PET/MR is similar. The suggested injected dose and uptake time for the commonly used PSMA-targeted PET radiopharmaceuticals are given in Table 1.7,8 After the tracer-specific uptake time, the patient is positioned supine on the imaging table, optimally with arms up. Routine image coverage is from the top of the skull to the mid-thighs. Usually, 5–9 bed positions are obtained, depending on the patient height (2–3 min/bed position). The method of attenuation correction is dependent on the imaging platform used. For PET/CT, attenuation correction maps are generated from CT data. For PET/MR, the most prevalent attenuation correction methods are MRI-based attenuation correction maps generated from a two-point Dixon Gradient-echo sequence for the entire scan field.9 The administration of IV Lasix (10–40 mg) prior to imaging may be helpful to diminish bladder activity (which can obscure uptake in the prostate gland or bed) and ureteral activity (a potential mimic of adenopathy) for agents with primary urinary clearance, such as 18F-DCFPyL. A further purpose of the low-dose CT or MR sequences obtained with PET/CT or PET/MR, respectively, is to enable accurate localization of lesions detected on PSMA-targeted PET. To enable localization of small metastases, axial gadolinium-enhanced T1 sequence, such as the volumetric interpolated breath-hold examination (VIBE) sequence, has shown high lesion conspicuity and is time-efficient, with overall acquisition time of approximately six minutes for a whole-body acquisition.10 Time-permitting, other sequences may be added, if required, including coronal T2-weighted turbo inversion recovery magnitude for bone lesions, or diffusion-weighted imaging. Due to time constraints, the use of additional sequences may be limited to cases where there is a clinical need to further characterize lesions identified on PET or on other MR sequences. Dedicated MR imaging of the prostate gland or prostate bed may be needed in specific clinical situations, for example, during the primary staging of high-risk prostate cancer with PET/MR or to confirm local recurrence of disease when PET images are obscured by activity from radiotracer in the bladder. In these instances, routine MR protocols are used. These generally include multiplanar T2-weighted sequences and diffusion-weighted sequences, as well as pre- and post-dynamic contrast-enhanced MR. The approach of more comprehensive prostate or body imaging typically requires real-time monitoring of the imaging study by a radiologist and/or nuclear medicine physician.

Normal biodistribution of PSMA-targeted radiopharmaceuticals and common PSMA-avid benign entities

Physiological, high PSMA-targeted radiopharmaceutical uptake is seen in PSMA-expressing organs, such as the lacrimal and salivary glands, as well as the kidneys. Moderate radiopharmaceutical uptake is seen in the spleen and nasopharynx, and variable uptake is seen in the vocal cords, trachea, and bronchi, as well as the proximal gastrointestinal tract (Figs. 1A, 1B).12 The degree of uptake in the liver and accumulation in the urinary tract and bladder varies depending on the clearance mechanism of the specific radiopharmaceutical. Most PSMA-targeted radiopharmaceuticals are cleared via the genitourinary tract, with high accumulation in the urinary tract and bladder and moderate uptake in the liver.12 18F-PSMA-1007 is an exception, with primarily hepatobiliary clearance, higher uptake in the liver, and little accumulation in the ureters and bladder.13 Lack of renal clearance may aid in identifying disease sites adjacent to the bladder and ureters, such as local tumor recurrence after prostatectomy. Peripheral neural structures, including

Table 1. Suggested injected dose of common PSMA tracers and optimal uptake time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tracer</th>
<th>Injected dose*</th>
<th>Uptake time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68Ga-PSMA-111</td>
<td>150±25 MBq</td>
<td>60–90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18F-DCFPyL2</td>
<td>300±60 MBq</td>
<td>60–120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18F-PSMA-10073</td>
<td>300±60 MBq</td>
<td>60–120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated for 75 kg man: 4±0.8 MBq/kg for 18F-DCFPyL and 18F-PSMA-1007 and 2±0.33 MBq/kg for 68Ga-PSMA-111. These values can be adjusted according to body weight. PSMA: prostate-specific membrane antigen.

Table 2. Benign processes which may be associated with increased PSMA uptake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Subcategory</th>
<th>Entity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benign tumors</td>
<td>Mesenchymal</td>
<td>Hemangioma, angiomyolipoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular/ perivascular</td>
<td>Meningioma, peripheral nerve sheath tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neural origin</td>
<td>Fibrous histiocytoma, dermatofibroma, aggressive fibromatosis, myxoma, pseudoangiomatous stromal hyperplasia (breast)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Connective tissue</td>
<td>Adrenal, thyroid, pancreatic serous cystadenoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone remodeling</td>
<td>Thymoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infectious or inflammatory</td>
<td>Various infectious, sarcoidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fracture, degenerative (osteoarthropathy), Paget’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amyloidosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the ganglia and nerve roots, may show physiological increased radiopharmaceutical uptake. The celiac and stellate ganglia have been described as potential pitfalls for the assessment of retroperitoneal and supraclavicular lymph node disease spread, respectively (Fig. 2).

Despite its name, PSMA is not prostate-specific and there are numerous non-prostate malignancies, as well as benign processes, which have been reported to be PSMA-avid. This may be, in part, related to the expression of PSMA on the cell membrane or increased endothelial PSMA expression in neovascularure. Although the list of benign entities associated with increased PSMA uptake is evolving (Table 2), it includes infectious and inflammatory processes (e.g., sarcoidosis), as well as benign neoplasms consisting of mesenchymal tumors (vascular, neurogenic, connective tissue origin) and epithelial tumors, including those of thymic origin, and adenosmas in various organs. Bone remodelling may also be PSMA-avid, and increased tracer uptake may be seen with healing fractures, as well as degenerative or arthritic processes (e.g., osteoarthites), among others. Although not well-understood, increased blood flow and vascular permeability may explain, at least in part, the increased PSMA uptake in inflammatory conditions. Disruption of the blood-brain barrier has been suggested as the etiology for focal abnormal radiopharmaceutical accumulation in acute or subacute ischemic cerebrovascular events. The pattern of distribution and correlation with imaging features may aid in accurately characterizing the benign process (Fig. 3).

Other (non-prostate) PSMA-avid malignancies

Several malignancies are PSMA-avid and can have a range of PSMA intensity. As an illustration, Fig. 4 shows an intensely PSMA-avid adrenal leiomyosarcoma, while Fig. 5 shows intensely PSMA-avid primary thyroid cancer. Uptake in non-small cell lung cancer may be mild or intense (Fig. 6). In certain cases, the use of more than one radiopharmaceutical may be helpful for disease characterization. Fig. 7 shows a man with concurrent nasopharyngeal cancer and prostate adenocarcinoma (Gleason 7). While the nasopharyngeal cancer is intensely FDG-avid and mildly PSMA-avid, the prostate cancer is intensely PSMA-avid and mildly FDG-avid. It is important to remember that the intensity of PSMA uptake does not imply a diagnosis of prostate vs. non-prostatic malignancy. Fig. 8 shows a case of an intensely PSMA-avid sternal metastasis related to prostate cancer in a patient with an intensely PSMA-avid hepatocellular carcinoma. Additional non-prostatic malignancies that have been shown to be PSMA-avid include malignancies of the gastrointestinal tract, renal cell carcinoma, neuroendocrine malignancy, gliomas, and breast cancer, among others. Further, as our...
experience with PSMA-targeted PET increases, so too does our appreciation of PSMA uptake due to benign etiologies (Figs. 9, 10) and unusual patterns of prostate cancer disease spread (Fig. 11). Ultimately, it is important to have the complete patient history, including any imaging prior to PSMA-targeted PET interpretation and even so, biopsy may be needed for pathological characterization in certain cases.

Clinical indications for PSMA-targeted PET

While access to PSMA-targeted PET currently remains variable across Canada, Table 3 provides suggested indications, including the quality of supportive evidence and recommendation strength.16

Staging of biochemical recurrence

The most common indication for PSMA-targeted PET is for the evaluation of disease in men with biochemical failure after primary prostate cancer therapy. The clinical management of men with biochemical recurrence depends on disease extent: local recurrence (Fig. 12) or local recurrence with regional nodal metastases vs. distant metastatic disease (Fig. 13). While discussion regarding optimal management of men with biochemical failure is ongoing, there is general agreement that accurate identification of disease location and extent is key to determining the most appropriate management (Fig. 14). Several trials have evaluated the role of surgery, radiation, or a combination of ablative procedures in men with localized, oligometastatic, or low-volume disease.17–20 Further, studies have shown high performance of PSMA-targeted PET in this patient population.

There is a correlation between observed disease detection rates and serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level,21–24 although PSMA-targeted PET may be useful in detecting disease sites even when the serum PSA is low. Approximately 38% of PSMA-targeted PET scans show disease sites in men with PSA <0.5 ng/ml.25 A prospective trial of 635 patients showed a positive predictive value of 84–92% for 68Ga-PSMA-11 PET in localizing disease, with PET-directed focal therapy alone leading to a PSA drop of ≥50% in 31/39 (80%) patients.26 A literature review suggested the impact of PSMA-targeted PET on management ranged from 30–76% among 1346 patients. Modifications made to pre-PSMA-targeted PET planned management included avoidance of systemic therapy (19–50%) and PET-directed local therapy in up to 60% of cases.25 Notably, prospective series of men with early biochemical failure and negative PSMA-targeted PET may still have high rates of response to salvage radiotherapy, suggesting these

Fig. 3. Benign processes showing increased 18F-DCFPyL uptake on axial PET images, top row and corresponding MRI/CT images, bottom row. (A) Focal uptake is seen corresponding to a hyperintense left frontotemporal dural-based mass on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery MR image (arrowheads), in keeping with a meningioma. (B) Focal uptake (arrow) is seen in the region of the left basal ganglia with no corresponding abnormality on non-contrast CT. Axial fluid-attenuated inversion recovery MR image (white arrow), shows an infarct. (C) A 76-year-old man with a history of Gleason 7 prostate carcinoma post radical prostatectomy 13 years ago with new biopsy-proven recurrence; PSA=1.51 ng/ml. Diffuse uptake in patchy ground glass opacities (right upper lobe, dotted arrows; SUVmax 1.9) and in bilateral hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes (arrowheads; SUVmax 4.7) is in keeping with an infectious process, likely due to aspiration per clinical history. (D) A 65-year-old man with newly diagnosed cT3b Gleason 9 (4+5) prostate cancer in 5/14 cores. Serum PSA=7.5 ng/ml. Staging 18F-DCFPyL PET/CT showed uptake in the prostate tumor (not shown) and in a 3.5 cm (SUVmax 21.0) enhancing splenic mass (arrow), with imaging features consistent with a hemangioma. (E) A 75-year-old male with a history of pT3bN1 Gleason 4+3 adenocarcinoma of prostate cancer, with biochemical recurrence post-radical prostatectomy (serum PSA=1.6 ng/ml). Sagittal images from a 18F-DCFPyL PET/CT shows focal uptake in the L2 vertebral body (arrow), with cortical thickening, coarsening of bone trabeculation and rectangular expansile appearance of the vertebral body, in keeping with Paget’s disease.

Fig. 4. Axial 18F-DCFPyL PET, fused PET/CT, and CT images show intense uptake in a patient with a left adrenal leiomyosarcoma (arrows).
negative scans may indicate subclinical disease within the prostate bed rather than subclinical metastatic disease.\textsuperscript{27,28} Several prospective trials and long-term outcome studies on the impact of PSMA-targeted PET-directed personalized patient management for prostate cancer are underway (i.e., NCT03582774 - PSMA-SRT Trial, NCT04557501 - PATRON and NCT03525288-PSMA-PETgRT).

Despite the strengths of PSMA-targeted PET, there are also limitations and pitfalls (Fig. 15). Reasons for false-negative studies include, among others: small tumor volume, such as may be seen in men with early-stage biochemical recurrence when the serum PSA is below 0.5 ng/ml or neuroendocrine differentiation of prostate cancer with downregulation of PSMA expression.\textsuperscript{29} It is estimated neuroendocrine differentiation occurs in 5–10\% of prostate cancers overall and approximately 30\% of men with advanced disease.\textsuperscript{30} Androgen receptor inhibition has been shown to increase PSMA expression in prostate cancer cells early on (approximately four weeks following androgen deprivation therapy [ADT]).\textsuperscript{31} Continuous, long-term ADT likely induces downregulation or loss of androgen receptors and PSMA expression in addition to decreasing tumor volume.\textsuperscript{32,33} Thus, short-term ADT likely increases PSMA-targeted PET positivity, whereas prolonged, continuous ADT is associated with a higher likelihood of a negative PSMA-targeted PET.

**Primary staging of high-risk prostate cancer**

Studies assessing the use of PSMA-targeted PET for staging men with prostate cancer are not numerous; however, there is a growing body of supportive literature in patients with high-risk disease. The prospective, multicenter, randomized Pro-PSMA trial assessed whether PSMA-targeted PET/CT could replace CT and bone scintigraphy (conventional imaging [CI]) in the initial staging of high-risk localized prostate cancer. There were 302 men randomized to CI or PET/CT. PET/CT performed significantly better than CI with sensitivity, specificity, and overall accuracy: 85\% vs. 38\%, 98\% vs. 91\%, and 92\% vs. 65\%, respectively. Furthermore, there were less equivocal findings on PET/CT than on CI (7\% vs. 23\%).\textsuperscript{34} Although the sensitivity of PET/CT in detecting nodal disease may not be enough to obviate the need for lymph node dissection in patients at risk for disease spread, PET/CT may identify nodes outside of the routine surgical field, as well as additional sites of distant disease (Fig. 16). In the Pro-PSMA trial, PSMA-targeted PET/CT resulted in more frequent management change than CI (28\% vs. 15\%), and when looking at patients who had <4 metastases on CI, a change in management occurred with subsequent PET/CT in more than 1/4 of men.\textsuperscript{34} To date, comparisons of outcome using PET/CT vs. CI remain scarce.

**Primary detection of tumor as an adjunct to multiparametric MRI**

The current workup of patients with clinically suspected prostate cancer includes transrectal ultrasound (TRUS)-guided systematic biopsy. TRUS-guided biopsies are associated with a relatively high false-negative rate, with clinically significant prostate cancer missed in approximately a quarter of men.\textsuperscript{35,36} This may be due to constraints of random samples or technical limitations in obtaining samples from specific areas, such as the fibromuscular stroma, apex, or midline gland.\textsuperscript{37,38}
recent years, multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (mpMRI) has been incorporated in the workup of men with suspected prostate cancer, showing considerable promise in detection, localization, risk stratification, and staging of prostate cancer. In a meta-analysis of seven studies evaluating mpMR, de Rooij et al found a pooled sensitivity and specificity of 0.74 and 0.88, respectively, with negative predictive value of 0.65–0.94. mpMRI is reported using a standardized scheme (Prostate Imaging and Reporting Archiving Data System [PI-RADS]). A validation study of lesions with score ≥4 (using PI-RADS v2 suspicious for malignancy) found an overall sensitivity and specificity of 77.0–77.3% and 71.4–73.8%, respectively, for the detection of castration-sensitive prostate cancer.40 A small series suggested PSMA-targeted PET identified histologically confirmed intraprostatic cancer sites and may have performance comparable and complementary to mpMRI in the characterization of clinically significant (Gleason grade group ≥2) disease.41 The role of PSMA-targeted PET as an adjunct to mpMRI for primary detection of clinically significant prostate cancer, especially lesions with a PI-RADS v2 score of 3 (equivocal) or 4 (suspicious), is not well-established and is being investigated in a prospective trial [NCT03149861] (Fig. 17).

Evaluation of men with metastatic prostate cancer

Currently, clinical management pathways for men with metastatic prostate cancer are based on the results of conventional imaging with a bone scan and CT. PSMA-targeted PET can identify sites of metastatic disease at the time of initial staging, biochemical recurrence, and in the setting of castration-resistance in the absence of lesions on conventional imaging.43 Although the impact of PSMA-targeted PET on management and outcome is a topic of research, in general, accurate identification of metastatic disease is important to determining appropriate management. For example, in the setting of hormone-sensitive metastatic disease, the extent of disease is used to inform decisions on the addition of chemotherapy or second-line hormone therapy to standard androgen deprivation with luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) agonists or antagonists.44 In the setting of oligometastatic/oligoprogressive metastatic prostate cancer, small, phase 2 randomized trials45,46 have suggested a benefit of deferring initiation of or changes in systemic therapy. Additional data from large, randomized trials, such as the PLATON study, (CCTG PR20 - NCT03784755) are being obtained.
Currently, the established response criteria across the spectrum of prostate cancer, including castration-resistant disease, are based on clinical, biochemical, and conventional imaging parameters. While PET-based biomarkers offer the potential for quantitative evaluation of response, these metrics have yet to be validated in clinical populations and are not yet part of current decision-making pathways. The clinical importance of more comprehensive staging and PET-based response assessment remains to be defined. PSMA-targeted PET may prove to be increasingly helpful as a diagnostic tool paired with PSMA-targeted therapy.

Both $^{177}$Lu and $^{225}$Ac PSMA-targeted radioligands for emerging as promising therapies for castration-resistant disease. Recently, several clinical trials have assessed the role of radionuclide therapy using $^{177}$Lu-labeled PSMA ligands in men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer after failure of androgen receptor axis targets (enzalutamide/abiraterone) and/or chemotherapy. The European Association of Nuclear Medicine guiding principles for radionuclide therapy with $^{177}$Lu-labeled PSMA-ligands outlines potential indications and procedure guidelines for the use of $^{177}$Lu-PSMA therapy in men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. The idea is based on the concept of using a predictive biomarker, specifically high avidity in putative sites of disease on PSMA-targeted PET, followed by individualized treatment with a therapeutic agent, such as $^{177}$Lu-labeled PSMA-targeted compounds. The optimal threshold of PSMA uptake at tumor sites for patient selection prior to radionuclide therapy is a topic of debate, with proponents for uptake comparable to liver activity through proponents of intense uptake on the order of SUVmax 20 or higher.

Regardless, PSMA-targeted PET is likely to prove helpful for determining patient suitability for PSMA-targeted radiotherapy. Some authors suggest a combination of FDG and PSMA-targeted PET may be preferable to PSMA-targeted PET alone for optimal patient selection. It is thought that patients with PSMA-positive and FDG-negative disease may have the highest response rates; however, this remains to be verified in clinical trials. Also, the optimal schedule and dose of $^{177}$Lu-PSMA radiopharmaceuticals remain to be determined, and the use of $^{177}$Lu-PSMA vs. $^{225}$Ac-PSMA radiopharmaceuticals are areas of active investigation. Finally, the results of randomized trials of PSMA-targeted radiopharmaceuticals compared to best supportive care (VISION, NCT03511664), chemotherapy (TheraP, NCT03392428), and

### Table 3. Suggested indications for PSMA-targeted PET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Evidence quality</th>
<th>Recommendation strength</th>
<th>Current recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PSMA-targeted PET may be helpful to identify clinically significant prostate cancer when systematic biopsies and MRI are negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary diagnosis of prostate cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary staging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PSMA-targeted PET may be helpful if a change in management is contemplated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary staging of high-risk prostate cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castration-sensitive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising PSA after prostatectomy; locoregional salvage considered</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PSMA-targeted PET may be helpful if locoregional salvage is considered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising PSA after radiotherapy; locoregional salvage considered</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PSMA-targeted PET may be helpful if locoregional salvage is considered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentially oligometastatic, castration-sensitive prostate cancer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PSMA-targeted PET may be helpful to confirm oligometastatic vs. extensive disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castration-resistant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-metastatic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PSMA-targeted PET may be helpful if a change in management is contemplated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic with PSA progression (stable on CI)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>PSMA-targeted PET should not be routinely offered outside of a clinical trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic with radiographic progression on CI</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PSMA-targeted PET should not be routinely offered outside of a clinical trial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quality of evidence: 1=high; 2=intermediate; 3=weak; 4=insufficient. Strength of recommendation: 1=strong; 2=moderate; 3=weak. CI: conventional imaging (CT, bone scintigraphy). CT: conventional imaging; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; PET: positron emission tomography; PSA: prostate-specific antigen; PSMA: prostate-specific membrane antigen.
enzalutamide (ARROW, NCT03939689) will likely provide additional guidance in the near future.

**Current recommendations for PSMA PET/CT in the Canadian context**

Currently, PSMA-targeted PET is being integrated into the prostate cancer care pathway worldwide. While there are no PSMA-targeted PET radiopharmaceuticals approved by Health Canada for routine use in clinical care, it seems reasonable that PSMA-targeted PET will become part of routine clinical care in Canada in the near future.

To date, data strongly suggests the performance of PSMA-targeted PET is superior to CI in characterizing prostate cancer across the spectrum of disease, although the ultimate impact of this on patient outcome remains to be determined. As summarized in Table 3, PSMA-targeted PET is useful primarily for staging primary, high-risk prostate cancer, and where its identification would lead to a treatment change, PSMA-targeted PET may be contemplated. In those with non-metastatic, castration-resistant prostate cancer in whom PSA kinetics are favorable and where systemic treatment intensification is not warranted, identification of metastases by PSMA-targeted PET may allow for inclusion into clinical trials assessing utility of metastasis-directed therapy.

A large Canadian clinical trial of PSMA-targeted PET informed treatment vs. standard of care informed treatment in high-risk primary and recurrent disease is planned (i.e., PATRON-NCT04557501). In the setting of established metastatic disease (castration-sensitive and castration-resistant), the utility of PSMA-targeted PET is less well-established, although it will likely present a powerful tool, particularly when paired with PSMA-targeted radiopharmaceutical therapy.

Despite the superior detection of disease by PSMA-targeted PET, it must be emphasized that to date, there is no evidence to support that such enhancements have led to improved clinical outcomes. Clinical trials addressing this issue are underway, and thus, while today’s care pathways...
remain based on well-established clinical criteria and standard-of-care imaging that does not include PSMA-targeted PET, this is likely to change in the future.

Conclusions

PSMA-targeted PET has high overall accuracy for the detection of prostate cancer and a growing role in determining patient management, particularly in men with high-risk disease at the time of staging and biochemical failure after primary therapy. As PSMA-targeted PET becomes more ubiquitous in clinical practice, increased familiarization with potential pitfalls in image interpretation and knowledge of the reasons for both false-positive and false-negative examinations are critical. Ongoing research will help clarify the long-term impact of PSMA-targeted PET on patient outcome, as well as to better define the relative strengths and weakness of the individual available PSMA-targeted PET radiopharmaceuticals.
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Fig. 15. A 63-year-old man with Gleason 7 (4+3) prostate cancer initially treated with radical prostatectomy and progression of biochemical failure 8-months after pelvic radiotherapy for pelvic sidewall lymph node recurrence. (A) Maximum intensity projection image shows normal 18F-DCFPyL biodistribution with no evidence of disease recurrence.

Fig. 15B. Axial fused 18F-DCFPyL PET/CT, CT, and PET images show a 2 cm segment 7 right hepatic lobe hypodensity (arrow), not seen previously, that was not PSMA-avid.

Fig. 15C. Coronal contrast-enhanced CT images show multiple liver metastases (arrows), not previously seen. Biopsy of a segment 8 lesion confirmed adenocarcinoma with an immunohistochemistry profile consistent with prostatic origin.

Fig. 16. A 58-year-old man with high-volume Gleason 9 (4+5) prostate cancer with seminal vesicle invasion (cT3b). Baseline serum PSA=217 ng/ml; negative conventional workup. Maximum intensity 18F-DCFPyL PET image and select coronal, axial, and sagittal PET/MR images show extensive primary tumor (solid arrows), metastatic pelvic (miN), and common iliac lymph nodes (miM1a) (dotted arrows), as well as multiple bone metastases (miM1b) (arrowheads).

Fig. 17. A 65-year-old man with clinical suspicion of prostate cancer and prior negative systematic transrectal ultrasound-guided biopsies. Axial 18F-DCFPyL PET, fused PET/MR and MR images shows focal intense uptake in the right peripheral zone in the mid gland, towards the apex. Biopsy revealed Gleason 7 (4+3) prostate cancer.
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