

Canadian Urological Association Guideline on Perioperative Thromboprophylaxis

Philippe D. Violette, MD; Luke T. Lavallée; Wassim Kassouf, MD; Peter L. Gross, MD; Bobby Shayegan, MD

Originally published in *Can Urol Assoc J* 2019;13(4):105-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.5489/cuaj.5828



Disclosures

Author Name	Advisory Boards	Speaker's Bureau	Payment/ Honoraria	Grants/ Research Support	Clinical Trials	Investments	Patents
Philippe Violette	None	None	SIU, CUA	None	None	None	none
Luke T. Lavallée	Ferring, Sanofi			Sanofi			
Wassim Kassouf		Astellas, AstraZeneca, Janssen, Merk, Roche		Astellas, AstraZeneca, Janssen, Merk, Roche			
Peter L. Gross	Pfizer, Leo		Bayer, BMS, Pfizer, LEO, Servier	BMS, Boeringer- Ingerheim, Pfizer	BMS, Pfizer, Bayer, Servier		
Bobby Shayagan		Abbvie, Astella, Janssen, Sanofi			Astellas, Janssen		



What do we need to know?

- 1. What is the tradeoff?
- 2. What are the risks with a given surgery?
- 3. How do patient factors fit in?
- 4. When do we start and how long to we give prophylaxis?



1 - What is the tradeoff?

Site of Pulmonary Embolus



Use of pharmacolocical prophylaxis will decrease risk of symptomatic VTE by 50% and increase major bleed by 50% (relative effect)



Procedures that strongly favor prophylaxis

Surgery with high risk of VTE and low risk of bleed





Procedures that strongly favor no prophylaxis Surgery with low risk of VTE and high risk of bleed







2- What are the risks with a given surgery?



Baseline risks of VTE and bleeding



Syst Rev 2014;336:995. *Eur Urol* 2018 Feb;73(2):236-41. *Eur Urol* 2018 Feb;73(2):242-51.

- Absolute risk of VTE and bleeding calculated for 31 urological procedures
- Provides "natural history" if no prophylaxis given
- Risks of VTE change with patient risk strata
- Relative effect of prophylaxis modifies this baseline risk



3 - Patient-related risk

Patient level factor	Effect on VTE risk	Risk
	(RR)	stratification
None		Low risk
Age >75 years	2-fold	Moderate risk
BMI ≥ 35	2-fold	Moderate risk
VTE in a first degree relative (parents, full	2-fold	Moderate risk
siblings, or children)		
Any 2 factors above	4-fold	High risk
Personal history of VTE	4-fold	High risk

Tikkinen et al. Syst Rev 2014;336:995



A priori definitions of net benefit used to make recommendations

Net benefit	Recommendation	Note			
Pharmacological prophylaxis					
≥10 per 1000	STRONG in FAVOR	If based on moderate or high-quality evidence			
≥10 per 1000	WEAK in FAVOR	If based on low or very low-quality evidence			
≥5-10 per 1000	WEAK in FAVOR	In borderline situations we always favored prophylaxis as case fatality is higher for VTE than for bleeding			
≥1-5 per 1000	WEAK AGAINST				
<1 per 1000	WEAK AGAINST	If based on low or very low-quality evidence			
<1 per 1000	STRONG AGAINST	If based on moderate or high-quality evidence			
Mechanical prophylaxis					
≥2.5 per 1000	WEAK in FAVOR				
<2.5 per 1000	WEAK AGAINST				



Strong vs. weak recommendation

Strong recommendation

- <u>For patients</u>: Most people in this situation would want recommended course (>80%)
- <u>For urologists</u>: Most patients should receive this course of action
 - Informed consent

Weak recommendation

- <u>For patients</u>: Most people in this situation would want recommended course, but many would not
- <u>For urologists</u>: Different choices will be appropriate for different patients; value and preference sensitive
 - Shared decision-making



What about timing of prophylaxis?

- When do we start?
- How long to we give?



4- What about timing of prophylaxis?

Proportion of 28-day cumulative bleeding risk

47.4%

63.3%

76.6%

84.9%

89.2%

100.0%



Risk of major bleed is highest on the day of surgery then decreases

Risk of symptomatic VTE is constant over 1 month

Optimal net benefit timing:

- Start morning POD1 and continue for 28 days post-op
 - Decrease risk of major bleed more than increase risk of symptomatic VTE in the first day, still get benefit of prophylaxis over the 4 weeks duration



EAU guideline \rightarrow CUA guideline

Original Research Education, Research, and Quality Improvement

≋CHEST[™]

Adopt? Adapt? de Novo?

Adaptation of Trustworthy Guidelines Developed Using the GRADE Methodology A Novel Five-Step Process

Annette Kristiansen, MD; Linn Brandt, MD; Thomas Agoritsas, MD; Elie A. Akl, MD, PhD, MPH; Eivind Berge, MD, PhD; Johan Bondi, MD, PhD; Anders E. Dahm, MD, PhD; Lars-Petter Granan, MD, PhD; Sigrun Halvorsen, MD, PhD; Pål-Andre Holme, MD, PhD; Anne Flem Jacobsen, MD, PhD; Eva-Marie Jacobsen, MD, PhD; Ignacio Neumann, MD; Per Morten Sandset, MD, PhD; Torunn Sætre, MD, PhD; Arnljot Tveit, MD, PhD; Trond Vartdal, MD, PhD; Gordon Guyatt, MD, FCCP; and Per Olav Vandvik, MD, PhD

Original Research Education, Research, and Quality Improvement



Applying New Strategies for the National Adaptation, Updating, and Dissemination of Trustworthy Guidelines

Results From the Norwegian Adaptation of the Antithrombotic Therapy and the Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th Ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines

Annette Kristiansen, MD; Linn Brandt, MD; Thomas Agoritsas, MD; Elie A. Akl, MD, PhD, MPH; Eivind Berge, MD, PhD; Anne Flem Jacobsen, MD, PhD; Lars-Petter Granan, MD, PhD; Sigrun Halvorsen, MD, PhD; Gordon Guyatt, MD, FCCP; and Per Olav Vandvik, MD, PhD



Modified ADAPTE used for this guideline

- 1. Met in person, decided on scope, and discussed methodological elements of the guideline to be adopted
- 2. Each panel member independently reviewed each EAU recommendation, methodology, source documents and appendices
- 3. Each member independently assigned one of 3 possible actions for each of the 32 recommendations (adopt, exclude, modify).
- 4. Modification based on:
 - a) the balance between benefits and harms

b) confidence in the estimates of the effect of the interventions under consideration

c) extent of assumed variability in patient values and preferences

d) resource and health equity considerations

5. Decisions regarding adoption, exclusion, modification, and new topics were agreed upon by Panel consensus.



Result of ADAPTE process

- On first iteration 14 of 32 recommendations were kept without modification
 - In subsequent we combined 14 into 11 recommendations
- From the 18 of 32 recommendations to be modified
 - 4 were excluded as outside of desired scope and replaced with one clinical principle
 - 14 were combined into 7

In summary

 CUA guideline includes 18 recommendations and 1 clinical principle



Excecutive summary CUA guideline

Abbreviated summary of CUA recommendations for postoperative VTE prophylaxis					
#	Surgery	Pharmacological prophylaxis		Mechanical prophylaxis	
		Risk stata	Strength and direction	Strength and direction	
1	Radical cystectomy (open or robotic)	All	Strong for	Weak for	
2	Open prostatectomy (without extended PLND)	Low Moderate or high	Weak for Strong for	Weak for Weak for	
3	Open prostatectomy (extended PLND)	All	Strong for	Weak for	
4	Robotic or laparoscopic prostatectomy (no PLND)	Low Moderate or high	Strong against Weak against	Weak against Weak for	
5	Robotic or laparoscopic prostatectomy (standard PLND)	Low Moderate High	Strong against Weak against Weak for	Weak for Weak for Weak for	
6	Robotic or laparoscopic prostatectomy (extended PLND)	Low Moderate High	Weak against Weak for Strong for	Weak for Weak for Weak for	
7	Open - Radical nephrectomy - Partial nephrectomy - Nephroureterectomy	All	Weak for	Weak for	
8	Laparoscopic - Radical nephrectomy	Low or moderate High	Weak against Weak for	Weak for Weak for	
9	Laparoscopic - Partial nephrectomy	Low or moderate	Weak against	Weak for	
10	Robotic - Partial nephrectomy	Low Moderate High	Weak against Weak for Strong for	Weak for Weak for Weak for Weak for	
11	RPLND for testicular germ cell cancer	All	Weak for	Weak for	
12	Ambulatory day surgery	All	Strong against	Weak against	
13	TURP	All	Weak against	Weak against	
14	Nephrectomy for benign disease	Low or moderate High	Weak against Weak for	Weak against Weak for	
15	Continence and prolapse surgery	All	Weak against	Weak against	

PLND: pelvic lymph node dissection; TURP: transurethral resection of the prostate; VTE: venous thromboembolism.



Excecutive summary CUA guideline cont'd

Abbreviated summary of CUA recommendations for peri-procedure management of anticoagulants and antiplatelet agents				
#	Preoperative agent	Stop days prior	Start days post	Notes
16	Antiplatelets	7 days	4 days	Excludes patients at very high risk of thrombosis:
17	Direct oral anticoagulant	3 days	4 days	 DES within six months
17	Warfarin	5 days	4 days	- BMS within six weeks
17	LMWH			- New VTE within 1 month
	 Twice daily formulation 	12 hours	4 days	- Severe thrombonhilia
	 Once daily formulation 	24 hours	4 days	- Cage-ball mechanical heart valves
17	Fondaparinux	24 hours	4 days	

Severe thrombophilia defined as anti-thrombin deficiency, protein C deficiency, antiphospholipid antibody, or other as identified by appropriate specialist. BMS: bare metal stent; DES: drugeluting stent; LMWH: low molecular weight heparin; TIA: transient ischemic attack; VTE: venous thromboembolism.

18: Patients at very high risk of thrombosis in whom surgery can be delayed should have surgery delayed until the period of very high risk is over (strong recommendation, high-quality evidence).

<u>Clinical principle</u>: For patients at very high risk of thrombosis in whom surgery cannot be delayed, our panel recommends multidisciplinary discussion and an individualized treatment plan.