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Learning objectives

• As a result of attending this session, participants will be able to:
  – Compare the impact of different catheter types, materials, and techniques on catheter related complications
  – Recognize the importance of patient perspective in the selection of catheter
  – Assess the economic implications of catheter selection and usage
Catheter use

- Urinary retention
- Urinary incontinence
- Neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction

- Indwelling catheters:
  - Urethral
  - Suprapubic

- Intermittent catheterization
  - Caretaker
  - Self
Objectives

• Review the evidence around the use of
  – Long-term urinary catheters
  – In patients with chronic conditions
  – Make practice recommendations for physicians in Canada
• Focus on intermittent catheterization
• Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE)
Indwelling catheters

- Initially after SCI
- Avoid in long-term:
  - Except for quadriplegic patients with impaired dexterity
  - Bedridden
  - IC is difficult or impossible
- SPC:
  - Independence
  - Sexuality
  - Less epididymitis

IC: intermittent catheterization; SCI: spinal cord injury; SPC: suprapubic catheterization
Intermittent catheterization

• Advantages:
  – Less mechanical urethral erosion
  – Less bladder cancer/stones
  – Less urosepsis

• Challenges:
  – Pain
  – Urinary tract infections
  – Urethral trauma
  – Urethral strictures
Evidence for selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength of statement</th>
<th>Quality of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We recommend <strong>individualizing</strong> the selection of appropriate bladder management strategy (IC, indwelling urethral or suprapubic catheter) in accordance with anatomic factors, bladder characteristics, motor and cognitive functions, patient preference and associated quality of life.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IC: intermittent catheterization
Evidence for selection cont’d

1. Catheter-related complications:
   – Catheter-associated infections
   – Urethral complications
     • Urethral trauma
     • Urethral strictures

2. Patient perspective

3. Economic perspective
We recommend using **intermittent catheters** over indwelling catheters for long-term use due to a lower risk of UTIs. Routine use of antimicrobial coated catheters is not recommended.

For those using intermittent catheters, we suggest using **single PVC** (as opposed to multiple use PVC), and special **hydrophilic-coated** catheters or **pre-lubricated** catheters, as they may decrease the frequency of UTIs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength of statement</th>
<th>Quality of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We recommend using intermittent catheters over indwelling catheters for long-term use due to a lower risk of UTIs. Routine use of antimicrobial coated catheters is not recommended.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For those using intermittent catheters, we suggest using single PVC (as opposed to multiple use PVC), and special hydrophilic-coated catheters or pre-lubricated catheters, as they may decrease the frequency of UTIs.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PVC: polyvinyl chloride catheters; UTI: urinary tract infection
CA-UTI cont’d

Catheter type and material
• Favor prelubricated or hydrophilic catheters

IC technique
• Evidence inadequate
# Urethral trauma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength of statement</th>
<th>Quality of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We suggest using <strong>hydrophilic</strong> catheters as they may cause less urethral trauma.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Urethral trauma cont’d

Catheter type and material
• Favor hydrophilic catheters

IC technique
• Evidence inadequate

IC: intermittent catheterization
Urethral strictures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength of statement</th>
<th>Quality of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is <strong>no evidence</strong> to suggest that the type of intermittent catheter impacts urethral stricture formation.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Urethral strictures cont’d

Catheter type and material
  • No difference

IC technique
  • Data insufficient

IC: intermittent catheterization
Patient perspective

Promote intermittent catheterization:
- Less restrictions on daily activities
- Patient independence
- Improves quality of life

Improve adherence
- Support
- Access to materials
- Avoid complications
Patient perspective cont’d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength of statement</th>
<th>Quality of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We recommend offering hydrophilic or prelubricated catheters to patients because of an improved bladder related QOL.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QOL: quality of life
Patient perspective cont’d

Catheter type and material
• Favor hydrophilic or prelubricated catheters

IC technique
• Insufficient data

IC: intermittent catheterization
**Economic analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength of statement</th>
<th>Quality of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We suggest offering patients, if possible, <strong>hydrophilic</strong> catheters, as they are cost-effective compared to single-use uncoated catheters due to the decreased incidence of UTIs and increased QOL.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QOL: quality of life; UTI: urinary tract infection
Economic analysis cont’d

Catheter type and material
• Hydrophilic catheter more cost-effective:
  – Increased patient satisfaction leads to lower cost per QALY

IC technique
• Re-use of catheters is cost-effective

IC: intermittent catheterization; QALY: quality-adjusted life-year
Conclusions

• Not one-size fits all...
• Selection of ideal intermittent catheter type/technique multifactorial
• If possible, propose hydrophilic-coated or prelubricated catheters
• Re-use of PVC catheters may be considered in certain cases

PVC: polyvinyl chloride catheters