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Introduction
Cryptorchidism is one of the most common congenital anomalies in males, characterized by inability to palpate the testicle in the expected normal anatomical position (i.e., within its respective hemi-scrotum). It represents an abnormality of testicular descent and development associated with long-term concerns, including infertility, hypogonadism, and development of neoplasms.

Methodology
A search of MEDLINE, Cochrane, and EMBASE databases and conference proceedings (January 1988–December 2015) were included to evaluate data and select pertinent articles on the topic. Search terms included cryptorchidism or undescended testicle as the topic of interest with an English language limit. Retrospective and prospective study designs, case series, review articles, and consensus statements by relevant organizations were included.

Grading of evidence to base the presented summary guidelines followed the International Consultation on Urologic Disease (ICUD)/World Health Organization (WHO) modified Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine grading system (see inset).

Epidemiology
When assessed in the newborn period, incidence is somewhat variable, yet clearly dependent on gestational age at birth. Cryptorchidism is diagnosed in 1.0–4.6% of full-term and 1.1–45.3% of preterm male neonates.1 In up to one-third of cases, the condition may affect both gonads.2 Following spontaneous decent, often seen in the first 3–6 months of life, prevalence stabilizes at 0.7–1.0% of one-year-old boys.

Rationale for treatment
The goals of treatment are summarized in Table 1. Surgical correction is offered early after diagnosis in order to maxi-
Cryptorchidism will be able to father a child. In terms of hence, only one-third to two-thirds of men with bilateral significantly lower (33% compared to the general population (around 90%), but are largely unchanged for men with unilateral cryptorchidism there is often more than one factor involved. Paternity rates must be tempered by the fact that 15% of couples in the 20% of couples in the general Canadian population have difficulty conceiving, and there is often more than one factor involved. Paternity rates are largely unchanged for men with unilateral cryptorchidism compared to the general population (around 90%), but are significantly lower (33–65%) for those with bilateral UDT. Hence, only one-third to two-thirds of men with bilateral cryptorchidism will be able to father a child. In terms of histology, there is evidence that both location of the testicle and time correlate with Leydig and germ cell loss. Intra-abdominal/non-palpable testes depict severe germ cell loss, as do testes that remain undescended by the age of two years (Level 3 evidence, Grade C recommendation). Risk of testicular cancer

Testicular cancer is rare, with an incidence around 4/100 000 in Canada. There has long been an association noted between UDT and testicular malignancy, with 11% of testicular cancers developing in men with a history of UDT. Recent studies have found the relative risk (RR) of developing testicular cancer in a boy with UDT is 2.75–8.9,11 corresponding to an absolute risk of 12–33 per 100 000.9 The risk is slightly increased also in the normally descended testis.

Performing orchiopexy prior to puberty appears to decrease the RR of subsequent testicular cancer to 2.23 (confidence interval [CI] 1.58–3.06), but it still remains above that of the normal non-cryptorchid male (Level 3 evidence, Grade B recommendation).9 Thus, we recommend that for patients with unilateral intra-abdominal and inguinal hypotrophic testes identified after puberty, orchiectomy be offered as an option (not mandatory) (Level 4 evidence, Grade D recommendation). Evaluation

Early diagnosis is instrumental for determining adequate followup and timely referral for specialized assessment and treatment. Genital exam by an experienced healthcare provider with good documentation of testicular position should be conducted in all newborn males. In addition, the presence of associated genitourinary abnormalities (such as hypospadias and inguinal hernia) and ipsilateral scrotal hypoplasia should be assessed. Features suggestive of a
disorder of sexual development (DSD) should trigger appropriate evaluation by a multidisciplinary team with expertise in these conditions (see below). In particular, a virilized newborn with bilateral non-palpable gonads should be considered to be 46XX with congenital adrenal hyperplasia until proven otherwise. In these babies, laboratory evaluation to rule out a salt-wasting condition should be expedited (and completed prior to discharge) in order to avoid morbidity and potential mortality. 14

Even though cryptorchidism is most commonly diagnosed in otherwise healthy children, it is important to remember that it is a component of almost 400 syndromes, many with important comorbidities that often raise concerns for surgical correction when anesthetic risks, life expectancy, and realistic future fertility interests are considered. These issues should be openly discussed with parents or other caretakers supported by healthcare providers with expertise in the particular condition (such as geneticists and a complex care pediatrics team), and anesthetists for counselling regarding anesthesia risk. In addition, syndromes associated with high likelihood of intra-abdominal gonads (and low likelihood of spontaneous descent), such as Prune Belly or Eagle Barrett syndrome, should be detected early and considered in the management plan.

Aside from newborn screening, a carefully documented genital exam should be part of well-child visits and during the assessment of children with suspected hernia or hydrocele and unexplained abdominal or inguinal pain. Healthcare providers should remember that a normal exam in the newborn period does not rule out the future development of cryptorchidism (i.e., testicular ascent).

Physical exam

Evaluation by an experienced healthcare provider remains the most important component of the assessment of children with suspected cryptorchidism, allowing the distinction between a normally located gonad, retractile testicle, palpable undescended/ectopic testicle, and non-palpable testicle. Associated conditions, such as an inguinal hernia, are concomitantly evaluated. The exam should be performed in a quiet, warm environment, assisted with lubrication if needed, and focus on the inguinal canal and scrotum, along with less common ectopic sites (perineal, femoral, prepubic). Ultrasound evaluation is not a substitute for a well-performed exam and it does not add diagnostic accuracy to an evaluation by a less experienced healthcare provider or a limited exam due to an uncooperative child.

In patients with unilateral cryptorchidism, evaluation of the contralateral gonad is important in order to detect potential problems with the normally located testicle (such as atrophy, varicocele, abnormal volume, or consistency for age). In addition, the presence of testicular hypertrophy (most often suspected when the axial length of the testicle is greater than 1.8–2 cm), is associated with a higher likelihood of an absent or atrophic non-palpable gonad. This information is valuable to provide preoperative counselling and can help decide on surgical approach and allocation of operating room time.

Imaging studies

When ordering imaging studies for evaluating suspected cryptorchidism, the healthcare provider should take into consideration the following issues:

- Imaging studies that require sedation or anesthesia (such as magnetic resonance imaging, [MRI]), regardless of the diagnostic performance of the test, do not have any therapeutic value. Thus, under most circumstances, surgical exploration is not avoided and a second anesthetic will be required for treatment.

- The use of imaging modalities that employ ionizing radiation (such as computed tomography [CT] scans) should be avoided, since the information obtained does not change management. 15,16 The additive exposure over the child’s lifetime, along with delivery of radiation to gonadal tissue (which happens, by definition, as the goal is to localize it), adversely impacts any added value and supports discouraging this practice.

- None of the currently available imaging modalities have sufficient reproducible diagnostic accuracy to confidently rule out the presence of intra-abdominal viable gonadal tissue. Thus, ultimately, in most cases surgical exploration is not avoided. Imaging tests may have potential merit solely in directing the best initial approach (e.g. scrotal vs. inguinal vs. laparoscopic exploration).

- Inaccurate or incongruent diagnosis in comparison to physical exam adds uncertainty and may lead to suboptimal management. Clear examples include surgical intervention for retractile testicles diagnosed as cryptorchidism by ultrasound, foregoing surgical exploration and missing an intra-abdominal gonad based on lack of visualization in ultrasound, CT scan or MRI, and extensive surgical exploration in the setting of a false positive image suggesting the presence of an intra-abdominal gonad.

- The practice of systematically ordering imaging studies on children with cryptorchidism adds a significant burden on the healthcare system and increases healthcare expenditures with limited added value and may introduce delays in appropriate referral and timely treatment.

Based on the aforementioned points, imaging in cryptorchidism is not cost-effective, may delay referral and surgical
treatment, and as such cannot be recommended as a standard adjunct to preoperative assessment of these children (Level 3 evidence, Grade B recommendation).

Need for investigation for DSD, karyotype, and other biochemical/genetic studies

The incidence of karyotype or other genetic abnormalities in boys with cryptorchidism is low (around 5% for those with persistent cryptorchidism after six months and 8% for boys with bilateral UDT).17 Hence, routine karyotype or genetic workup of patients with UDT is NOT recommended (Level 4 evidence, Grade D recommendation).

Patients with bilateral non-palpable gonads and a normal phallus with an orthotopic urethral meatus should undergo a karyotype (and further hormonal testing of 17-hydroxyprogesterone levels if XX karyotype found) to rule out congenital adrenal hyperplasia.18 Although the yield of such practice is low, we recommend it due to the potential devastating issues (salt-wasting crisis, gender assignment discussions) associated with a missed diagnosis.

In patients with bilateral non-palpable testicles and a normal phallus bearing an XY karyotype, the diagnosis of bilateral vanishing testicles or testicular regression syndrome (TRS) should be considered. In such patients, the combination of high gonadotropins, low testosterone levels (even after stimulation), and very low or undetectable levels of anti-Müllerian hormone may preclude any surgical intervention.19,20 In this specific scenario, we recommend consultation with an endocrinologist to determine the best management on an individual basis since interpretation of these investigations is complex and sometimes inconclusive.

Approximately one-third of patients with proximal hypospadias and at least one undescended testicle (particularly if non-palpable) have a DSD.21 DSD has not been observed in patients with the association of distal hypospadias and UDT.22 Therefore, we recommend performing a karyotype in patients with at least one undescended testicle and proximal hypospadias, especially in the setting of non-palpable gonads (Level 4 evidence, Grade D recommendation).

WT1 mutations have been identified in a single series of patients with the association of proximal hypospadias and at least one UDT in 6/80 (7.5%) boys who were tested.23 Further development of renal disease and/or Wilms’ tumour was documented in those patients. Hence, we recommend that consideration be given to include targeted WT1 genetic testing in patients that fit that profile (Level 3 evidence, Grade C recommendation).

Persistent Mullerian Duct Syndrome (PMDS) is suggested by the presence of Mullerian structures (uterus, fallopian tube) attached to an undescended testicle (more commonly intra-abdominal) and is usually an intraoperative finding. It is caused by a mutation on the gene that encodes either AMH or its receptor; such mutation is transmitted follow-

ing an autosomal recessive trait. Rarely, PMDS can lead to both testicles occupying the same side of the abdomen (transverse testicular ectopia). Surgical removal of the Mullerian structures seems logical since malignancies have been reported and at times their attachments can hinder the performance of a tension-free orchidopexy. Removal can be achieved through open surgery or laparoscopically with care being taken not to damage the vas deferens, which can be quite adherent to the Mullerian structures.24-25 When Mullerian remnants are found incidentally during an inguinal orchidopexy, the proximal aspect of the fallopian tube can be transected and removed with the uterus, leaving its distal component attached to the vas deferens, allowing the testis to be brought to a scrotal position (Level 4 evidence, Grade D recommendation). Such maneuver avoids separation of the tube from the cord structures, protecting the deferential and testicular blood supply. Patients should have AMH levels checked and be referred to endocrinology/genetics for investigation.

If a DSD is discovered, patients should be followed in a multidisciplinary clinic specific to these complex diagnoses.

Management options (Fig. 1)

Hormonal options (Fig. 1)

Treatment of UDT with either human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) or luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) does not seem to cause harm and may be effective; however, reported success rates are inconsistent (9–62%), with no single agent standing out.26 Bilateral cases seem to harbour the best response (25–30%).27

There have been reports suggesting that administration of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) either pre or postorchidopexy may improve fertility based on improved fertility indices (ascertained by proxy with the ratio of adult spermatogonia per tubule on testicular biopsies taken at the time of orchidopexy).28-30 This is a highly controversial topic with conflicting recommendations having been published28,31 and should therefore be regarded as experimental.

There is paucity of data on long-term outcomes of hormone therapy, such as fertility and cancer development.26

Our recommendation is that hormone therapy has a limited role in the management of cryptorchidism and should not be recommended as first-line therapy (Level 2 evidence, Grade B recommendation).

Surgical exploration

Timing

There seems to be a general consensus regarding the ideal age for orchidopexy, although an evidence-based guideline
is still lacking. According to the 1996 American Academy of Pediatrics recommendation and the recently published American Urological Association (AUA) guidelines on the topic, orchidopexy should be performed before one year of age based on changes in the number of germ cells in the UDT that start to occur beyond that age.

Results from a randomized, controlled trial comparing testicular growth after surgery performed at nine months vs. at three years of age indicated that early orchidopexy was followed by a partial catch-up testicular growth, which was not seen after late operation. These findings, as well as the fact that testicular descent is unlikely to occur in full-term babies after six months of age, support our current recommendation of performing orchidopexy between six and 18 months of age (Level 2 evidence, Grade B recommendation).
Orchidopexy techniques

Surgical approach to the palpable testicle

Inguinal orchidopexy

Palpable testicles are approached most commonly through an inguinal incision. High (proximal) ligation of the processus vaginalis is an essential surgical step to allow placement of the testis in a sub-dartos pouch within the hemi-scrotum, without tension. Fixation sutures through the tunica albuginea can be used. The weighted success rate for primary inguinal orchidopexy was 96.4% based on a systematic review.36

Scrotal orchidopexy

The scrotal approach for management of cryptorchidism was first described by Bianchi in 1989,37 and has since gained wide acceptance.38-41 Evidence suggests that most palpable testicles can be successfully managed through this incision.37,38 According to a recent review that analyzed 1558 scrotal orchidopexies, recurrence was observed in only nine cases, testicular hypo/atrophy in five, and surgical site infections in 13. A secondary inguinal incision was needed in 3.5% of the boys to facilitate high (proximal) testicular dissection. Overall, success rates ranged from 88–100%.42

In comparison to standard inguinal orchidopexy, recent evidence from observational studies has suggested that the scrotal approach has equivalent success rates and complications, with advantage of a significantly shorter operative time.39,43 At least two randomized, controlled trials comparing the two techniques (inguinal vs. scrotal) have been attempted and essentially confirmed those findings;44,45 however, in one of the studies the authors also report mean length of stay above two days for both procedures, which questions the generalizability of the conclusions to our environment, where these procedures are almost universally undertaken on an outpatient basis.44 Furthermore, none of these randomized, controlled trials prespecified the minimal clinically important difference in operative time to justify sample size calculation; therefore, their conclusions should be interpreted with caution.

Our recommendation is that for palpable UDT undergoing surgery, both the inguinal and the prescrotal techniques are acceptable based on the surgeon’s preference and experience (Level 2 evidence, Grade B recommendation).

Surgical approach for the non-palpable testicle

If the testicle is not palpable preoperatively, as it may occur in up to 20% of UDT cases, examination under anesthesia (EUA) can sometimes allow identification of the testicle. Otherwise, diagnostic laparoscopy is the procedure of choice in most centres.46 In certain non-palpable testicle (NPT) cases, confident palpation of an ipsilateral scrotal nubbin and identification of contralateral compensatory testicular hypertrophy may preclude diagnostic laparoscopy by means of initially performing a scrotal incision, which allows for testicular nubbin removal and confirmation of the vanishing testicle diagnosis.47 Inguinal exploration and/or laparoscopy can then be reserved for cases in which the initial scrotal approach is non-diagnostic.

The phenomenon of contralateral compensatory testicular hypertrophy has been well-described in the literature and shown to correlate with the laparoscopic finding of an absent testicle (monorchism) in children with unilateral NPT.4,10,14 Boys with monorchism were found to have a mean contralateral testicular length >2 cm or >1.8 cm.6,10 Based on these findings, it could be debated that boys with NPT and contralateral compensatory hypertrophy should be initially approached by a scrotal incision to look for a testicular nubbin, reserving diagnostic laparoscopy only for cases with a patent processus vaginalis or lack of compensatory hypertrophy.44 It is critical to highlight the importance of confidently identifying atrophic testicular tissue with associated vas deferens and gonadal vessels if a scrotal or inguinal approach is chosen, as any doubt should trigger further exploration. Presence of a looping vas or incorrectly identifying non-gonadal tissue as a nubbin may lead to misdiagnosis, potentially leaving viable testicular tissue in the abdomen. In uncertain cases or when tissue analysis is not consistent with atrophic testicular tissue, laparoscopic exploration should be strongly considered (Level 4 evidence, Grade C recommendation).

If laparoscopy is unavailable, a lengthy inguinal incision extending to the abdominal cavity is sometimes necessary to rule out the presence of an intra-abdominal testicle. When a laparoscopic approach is chosen, up to three ports may be needed: a 3 or 5 mm umbilical trocar for the camera and two 3 mm ports for the working instruments. Single-port laparoscopic management for the intra-abdominal testicle has been described and constitutes an alternative option.

Diagnostic laparoscopy is the most useful modality for assessing NPT, as it permits identification of three surgical scenarios that will lead to different courses of action:

1. Blind-ending vas and vessels indicate a vanishing intra-abdominal testicle (IAT), and no further exploration is necessary (10–30% of cases).
2. Testicular vessels and vas entering the inguinal canal through the internal inguinal ring. Inguinal exploration may find a healthy palpable UDT amenable to standard orchidopexy, or a testicular nubbin either in the inguinal region or, most commonly, in the scrotum. Remnant cord structures are usually removed to confirm the diagnosis and because viable residual testicular elements are present in up to 14% of the cases.48 It should be noted that to date, no cases of
intratubular germ cell neoplasia have been reported within these specimens.

3. Peeping or IAT (50%), which will require either an open or a laparoscopic orchidopexy in one or two stages.

Laparoscopy allows for accurate diagnosis of any of the three scenarios at the time of surgery, followed by the appropriate definitive management, i.e., orchidopexy in one or two stages or removal of nubbin/non-viable testis.

Inguinal approach for the high inguinal canalicular, or IAT

Bringing a high testicle down to the scrotum while preserving its blood supply can sometimes be a surgical challenge. Helpful maneuvers include division of the lateral fibrous attachments of the cord at the internal inguinal ring, blunt dissection of the retroperitoneal spermatic vessels (which are usually the limiting factor) up to the lower pole of the kidney, and mobilization of the cord medial to the inferior epigastric vessels (Prentiss maneuver). Despite these steps, if the testicle still does not reach the scrotum, a Fowler-Stevens (FS) orchidopexy may be performed.

Fowler-Stevens orchidopexy

The FS technique was originally described as a single-stage open inguinal approach for the IAT in which the testicular artery and veins were too short to allow adequate testicular mobilization into the scrotum through standard orchidopexy. It involves ligating and dividing the testicular vessels while maintaining the normal pathway of testicular descent through the inguinal canal. The distal gubernacular attachments and the collateral vessels on the floor of the inguinal canal are left undisturbed, preserving the cremasteric blood supply.

The laparoscopic FS orchidopexy entails division of the gonadal vessels and cremasteric collaterals during advancement of the IAT medial to the inferior epigastric vessels or obliterated umbilical artery. Despite its widespread use, either as a one- or two-stage procedure, atrophy rates can be as high as 33%, probably due to failure of developing adequate collateral blood supply through the deferential artery. The presence of a long looping vas deferens may increase this risk, especially when the procedure is done laparoscopically. In contrast, preservation of the gubernaculum during laparoscopic FS orchidopexy, mimicking one of the surgical steps of the open FS technique, may help decrease the likelihood of testicular atrophy.

Orchidopexy success rates of testicular descent

Success rates of testicular descent are directly related to the anatomic position of the testicle. These rates range from 92% for standard inguinal open orchidopexy for testicles located below the external inguinal ring to 67% for one-stage laparoscopic FS orchidopexy for non-palpable testicles.

A recent systematic review has compared the success rates of testicular descent for primary orchidopexy (palpable testes), one-stage, and two-stage FS procedures (non-palpable testes). According to this review, the weighted success rates for all three approaches exceeded 75%. Independently, the overall success rates were 78.7%, 86%, and 96.4% for one-stage FS, two-stage FS, and primary orchidopexy, respectively.

Open vs. laparoscopic orchidopexy for NPT

Laparoscopic orchidopexy outcomes are comparable to those of open surgery. Based on a randomized, controlled trial that compared outcomes after two-stage laparoscopic FS orchidopexy vs. open orchidopexy for NPT, patients who underwent the laparoscopic approach were noticed to have statistically significantly shorter operative time and return to normal activities. Although all testicles in both groups were noted to have satisfactory scrotal position after surgery, two (10%) of the 20 testes in the laparoscopic arm and three (19%) of the 16 testes in the open group had atrophied after one year of followup.

Complications

The most alarming complication of inguinal orchidopexy is testicular atrophy, which occurs when the testicular vessels are damaged. According to a recent systematic review on this topic, pooled atrophy rates were 1.83% for primary orchidopexy (range 0–4%), 28.1% for one-stage FS (range 22–67%), and 8.2% for two-stage FS (range 0–12%). Similarly, another study has shown that surgical outcomes for IAT were better with a one-stage orchidopexy preserving the testicular vessels as opposed to the one-stage FS technique.

Rare complications include testicular ascension, where the testicle gets pulled to the entrance of the scrotum, and vas deferens injury. Other orchidopexy related complications might include those associated with any surgical procedure, such as wound infection, dehiscence, and hematoma.

Prophylactic contralateral orchidopexy

Preventive orchidopexy of the normally descended contralateral testicle in the setting of blind-ending spermatic vessels found upon exploration of a non-palpable testis has been advocated by some authors. This is based on the reported risk of bell-clapper deformity and abnormal testicular fixation found in the remaining solitary testis (Bellinger 1985, Savage 2001). The risk of torsion is admittedly low, conceptually not different from the general population. Thus, any potential benefit must be weighed against the risk of damage to the solitary gonad during surgery. In the absence of literature
strongly supporting or discouraging prophylactic orchiopexy, the decision should be made based on informed discussion of options with the patient parents or legal guardian (Level 5 evidence, Grade D recommendation).

**Testicular biopsy**

Testicular biopsy is not indicated at the time of orchiopexy. Recent evidence has shown that total germ cell histopathology at the time of orchiopexy was not predictive of significant changes in hormone levels or semen analysis results in adulthood. According to these authors, it may be clinically useful in predicting fertility potential for those with bilateral undescended testicles, but this approach remains investigational.

**Orchiectomy**

Orchiectomy remains the treatment of choice for the majority of postpubertal males presenting with unilateral cryptorchidism, especially when these testicles are small in size (hypotrophic/atrophic). Histological analysis of cryptorchid testicles in postpubertal patients has shown that most of these testes have significant malignant potential and cannot contribute to fertility (Sertoli only syndrome).

**Conservative management**

UDT is associated with a multitude of syndromes, some of which can lead to limited life expectancy and/or severe developmental delay (e.g., Down’s, Prader-Willi, and Noonan’s syndromes). Furthermore, there is evidence that in many of these patients, testicular function suffers progressive deterioration over time. Nonetheless, given the reports of testicular cancer (sometimes at an early age) in these patients, we recommend orchidectomy when they are clinically fit for anesthesia for the purpose of surveillance (Level 4 evidence, Grade D recommendation).

**Previously failed orchiopexy**

Overall orchiopexy failure rates are low (around 10%), especially when only pediatric referral centre results are considered (1–2%). When faced with a testicle in an inadequate (high) position after orchiopexy, redo surgery offers high success rates in terms of bringing the testicle to a scrotal position. Data on long-term (functional) outcomes of such testes are non-existent. We recommend offering redo orchiopexy for cases where inadequate position is detected postoperatively (Level 5 evidence, Grade D recommendation).

**Followup**

Although UDT are unquestionably associated with a higher risk for development of testicular cancer, the incidence rates of this type of cancer are small and hence no screening policy is justified. There is no need for formal long-term urological followup of patients with UDT. Nonetheless, periodic self-exam after puberty is recommended with prompt referral to an urologist if an abnormality is noted.

**Age at which orchiectomy is advisable over orchiopexy**

Data suggest that the risk of malignancy within a postpubertal UDT is higher compared to those that underwent prepubertal orchiopexy. Additionally, testicular cancer is exceedingly rare in older adults (i.e., after 50 years of age). Hence, we recommend considering orchiectomy for postpubertal patients with hypotrophic/atrophic undescended testicles up to the age of 50. After that age, observation is likely appropriate (Level 4 evidence, Grade D recommendation).

**Acquired cryptorchidism**

Acquired UDT are diagnosed at an average age of 8–11 years. The reasons for this late diagnosis remain unknown. Careful serial physical examination is recommended to accurately determine testicular position and identify cases of acquired cryptorchidism in boys with retractile testes. Some authors believe that acquired UDT represent a milder subtype of congenital cryptorchidism that has escaped detection in infancy. The percentage of retractile testicles that ascend and requires orchiopexy is difficult to estimate, ranging from 3–30% in prepubertal children. Based on that, it is difficult to set a specific age for correction of these cases because it may vary from child to child.
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Appendix 1. Summary of recommendations

Physical exam is the cornerstone of cryptorchidism evaluation, and should be conducted by an experienced healthcare provider in a warm, relaxed environment.

Documentation in patients with cryptorchidism should include history of prematurity, scrotal asymmetry, if the gonad(s) is palpable or not, and associated genital urinary abnormalities (such as hypospadias).

Phenotypic males with bilateral non-palpable gonads should raise the index of suspicion of congenital adrenal hyperplasia with a 46XX karyotype (along with other disorders of sexual development), and appropriate workup should be conducted prior to discharge to rule out a salt-wasting condition.

If cryptorchidism is documented on newborn exam, regular monitoring is warranted to assess for spontaneous descent, and appropriate referral for specialized evaluation should be secured at or before six months of corrected age.

Imaging studies, such as ultrasound, computed tomography scan or magnetic resonance imaging, are unnecessary, expensive, potentially misleading, and not warranted. They can be selectively ordered after specialist evaluation, including patients with suspected disorder of sexual development, and prior to surgical intervention at the discretion of the specialist.

Unless the child has important comorbidities or high anesthetic risk, there is no role for conservative (i.e., expectant) management in children diagnosed with cryptorchidism past six months corrected age.

Children with retractile testicle(s) should be regularly examined and the location of the gonad in the absence of an active cremasteric reflex clearly documented. If noted to ascend into an ectopic/undescended location, specialist referral is warranted.

Acute abdominal/inguinal pain in a child with cryptorchidism should be considered a possible torsion and trigger appropriate urgent surgical assessment. A genital exam indicating the presence and location of the testicles should be documented in all boys with abdominal/inguinal pain.

Hormonal therapy has an unknown impact on subsequent gonadal function and has no advantage over timely surgical correction.

There is no role for medical (hormonal) or surgical intervention(s) for children with retractile testicle(s).

Palpable undescended testicles can be addressed through a prescrotal or inguinal approach, based on location of the gonad and the ability to manipulate into the scrotum, as well as surgeon preference and expertise.

If the testicle is not palpable on preoperative physical evaluation, an exam under anesthesia should be conducted at the beginning of surgical exploration, as in 10–15% of patients the gonad may become palpable and surgical approach can be appropriately tailored.

The goal of orchidopexy is to locate the gonad in its normal anatomical position, which should be documented on a postoperative followup assessment.

Surgical procedures should address associated abnormalities, such as a patent processus vaginalis or hernia.

The role of contralateral prophylactic orchidopexy in unilateral cryptorchidism or monorchidism (to prevent future testicular torsion) is controversial. The rationale for conducting this procedure or not should be disclosed to the family and appropriate warnings given to all families regarding the need for emergent evaluation in case of acute testicular pain.

The diagnosis of an absent, vanishing, or atrophic testicle is based on surgical exploration. Surgical findings (including the presence of blind ending vas deferens and vessels, absence of testicle or nubbin), and/or pathological evaluation (hemosiderin, testicular tissue, vas deferens, and vessels) should be clearly documented in order to avoid future concerns and need for re-assessment.

All patients should receive appropriate teaching regarding regular testicular self-exam following orchidopexy and need to alert healthcare providers if palpable abnormalities are noted or if a sudden increase in testicular size is perceived.

Patients should be referred for endocrine assessment in cases of delayed puberty and offered evaluation by an infertility specialist if concerned about future fertility potential. This recommendation in particularly important for boys at high risk for hormonal or fertility problems, such as those with bilateral intra-abdominal testicles, cryptorchidism in a solitary gonad, or concern about atrophy after attempted orchidopexy.
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