Introduction

Despite technological advances in the surgical management of upper tract urinary stone disease that have significantly reduced patient morbidity and recovery time, new stone formation and recurrence remain significant health issues. Data from the U.S. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) published in 2012 noted a kidney stone prevalence of 10.6% in men and 7.1% among women. Comparing these results to a similar survey conducted between 1976 and 1994, the overall prevalence of stone disease in the U.S. population has increased from 5.2 to 8.2%. An increase in stone formation, particularly among women, has also been observed such that the male:female ratio appears to be decreasing. Recent evidence also suggests there may be an increase in the incidence of certain stone compositions, such as uric acid, a type of stone clearly linked to both dietary and metabolic risk factors.

Recurrence rates after an initial symptomatic stone event are reported to be from 30‒50% within 10 years of first presentation. Patients are, therefore, generally motivated to explore prevention strategies. Studies have shown, however, that patients are more willing to undergo metabolic evaluation compared to physicians’ willingness to further investigate them. Epidemiological data from the U.S. show that only 7% of patients with a high risk of recurrent stone disease undergo metabolic evaluation by any physician. The odds of undergoing metabolic evaluation were 2.9 and 3.9 times higher if patients were seen by a nephrologist or urologist, respectively. Bensaleh et al noted that 81% of patients interviewed would prefer to take a prophylactic medication than undergo another stone episode, and 92% of respondents preferred medication to undergoing surgery. In summary, the vast majority of stone patients would benefit from metabolic evaluation, but are not being investigated.

The economic burden of recurrent stone disease is also significant. Estimates of direct costs to care for and treat patients with stones and the indirect costs related to lost work time exceed $5 billion USD. The observed increases in healthcare expenditures associated with nephrolithiasis are likely due to the increasing prevalence and procedure-related costs, despite a shift towards outpatient treatment, shorter length of hospital stay, and more minimally invasive procedures. Given the rising rates of obesity and diabetes and their association with stone formation, the cost of managing stone disease is expected to increase to 1.24 billion dollars yearly in the U.S. by 2030. Clearly, the need for stone prevention in those at risk will continue to have an important role.

In 2010, the Canadian Urological Association (CUA) Guidelines Committee commissioned the development of a clinical practice guideline on the evaluation and medical management of patients with upper tract urolithiasis. The aims of the guideline were to help clinicians identify patients at heightened risk of stone recurrence, to outline the required investigations to assess these patients, and to provide up-to-date advice on dietary and medical interventions of proven benefit in the Canadian context. In accordance with the CUA’s policy of reviewing the content of guidelines every five years, this topic was felt to be ready for revision to reflect new advances in the preventative management of patients with renal stones. It should be noted that this guideline addresses the evaluation and medical prophylaxis of upper tract stones and not stones forming within the bladder.

Literature review

The content included in this document was obtained from a review of the English language literature. Management recommendations were based, whenever possible, on the most current literature since the last CUA guideline was published in 2010. A PubMed search was conducted encompassing the period from January 1, 2005 to July 1, 2015 to include the following terms in either the title or abstract: “nephrolithiasis,” “urolitiasis,” “kidney stone,” “renal stone,” and...
“urinary stone.” In total, 4603 article titles were reviewed and 698 were identified as potentially relevant for inclusion in the literature assessment for this guideline. In addition, all references from recently published guidelines were assessed and relevant studies were included in our literature review.

Studies were evaluated and recommendations made based on Oxford levels of evidence and grades of recommendation as per the CUA’s Guidelines Committee’s directive. Guideline statements with management recommendations were developed based on the highest level of evidence.

Indications for metabolic evaluation

It is generally accepted that even the first time stone-former, without any identifiable risk factors for recurrent stone formation, should undergo a limited metabolic evaluation to rule out potential systemic disorders, such as hyperparathyroidism and renal dysfunction. This evaluation should include a urinalysis ± culture, serum electrolytes (Na, K, Cl, HCO₃⁻), serum Ca, and serum creatinine (Level of Evidence 4, Grade C Recommendation).

An in-depth metabolic investigation may be considered for any patient who is interested and willing to participate in the endeavor to collect and analyze a 24-hour urine study and have blood work drawn, and is willing to alter his/her diet or begin pharmacotherapy. There are, however, patient populations with clearly known risk factors where an in-depth metabolic investigation is highly recommended (Level of Evidence 3, Grade C Recommendation):

1. Children (<18 years of age)
2. Bilateral or multiple stones
3. Recurrent stones (having had two or more kidney stone episodes in the past)
4. Non-calcium stones (e.g., uric acid, cystine)
5. Pure calcium phosphate stones
6. Any complicated stone episode that resulted in a severe (if even temporary) acute kidney injury, sepsis, hospitalization, or complicated hospital admission
7. Any stone requiring percutaneous nephrolithotomy treatment
8. Stones in the setting of a solitary (anatomical or functional) kidney
9. Patients with renal insufficiency
10. History of kidney stones and systemic disease that increases the risk of kidney stones (e.g., gout, osteoporosis, bowel disorders, hyperparathyroidism, renal tubular acidosis, etc.)
11. Occupation where public safety is at risk (e.g., pilots, air traffic controller, police officer, military personnel, firemen)

Due to the infectious nature and cause of struvite stones, routine metabolic evaluation of patients with struvite stones is not usually recommended; however, routine urine culture and radiological investigations are necessary in this patient population.

In-depth evaluation

For those patients where an in-depth evaluation is indicated, the workup should include serum and 24-hour urine tests, as well as a thorough dietary history. These tests should include:

- Serum:
  - Creatinine, sodium, potassium, chloride, calcium, albumin, uric acid, bicarbonate
  - Parathyroid hormone (PTH) level if serum calcium is high normal or abnormally elevated
  - Vitamin D if low normal serum calcium or elevated serum PTH

- 24-hour urine collection:
  - Volume, creatinine, calcium, sodium, potassium, oxalate, citrate, uric acid, magnesium
  - Cystine if suspect cystine stone or if the stone analysis is cystine

- Spot urine:
  - Urine pH
  - Urinalysis
  - Specific gravity

Number of 24-hour urine collections

There is some controversy regarding the number of 24-hour urine collections necessary to investigate patients. Recent data suggests that up to 47.6% of patients had their clinical management changed by an abnormality that was identified only when two samples were collected. It is currently recommended that two 24-hour urine collections be obtained in order to correctly identify metabolic abnormalities. The benefit of two collections should be balanced, however, by the practicality and importance of obtaining at least one collection (Level of Evidence 3, Grade C Recommendation).

Importance of stone analysis

Identification of stone composition will aid in determining prevention and directing surgical options for future stones. Furthermore, identification of struvite, ammonium urate, uric acid, calcium phosphate, or cystine stones would alter whether or not 24-hour urine tests are required. Efforts should, therefore, be made to have patients collect stones they have passed or if stones are removed at the time of surgical intervention, they should be submitted for analysis (Level of Evidence 3, Grade C Recommendation).

If a patient continues to form new stones, it is worthwhile to repeat a stone analysis of the patient’s subsequent stones. Stone composition changed in 21.2% of patients over time. Patients interchanged between calcium oxalate and calcium
phosphate stones, and some uric acid stone-formers became calcium oxalate stone-formers. 25

**General dietary measures**

Basic dietary and fluid intake advice has been shown to be effective in reducing stone recurrence rates and seems warranted for even the first-time stone-former without identifiable risk factors. The “stone clinic effect” first described by Hosking et al is a well-known phenomenon whereby counselling on appropriate fluid intake to avoid dehydration and dietary excesses can significantly reduce stone recidivism. 26

The involvement of a registered dietician, if available in the counselling of patients with recurrent renal stones, should be incorporated into the management of these patients. In a retrospective review of 137 kidney stone patients with abnormalities on their 24-hour urine collection, after assessment and specific recommendations were made by a registered dietician, significant improvements were noted in urine volume, sodium, calcium, uric acid, citrate, and oxalate. 27 Assessment with a registered dietician is strongly suggested where there is a history of compromised nutritional status, complex medical situations, patients with deficient nutrition knowledge, dietary risk cannot be completely assessed by the urologist, or for anyone who needs assistance implementing dietary recommendations. 28, 29 Evidence suggests that patients who received specific dietary recommendations based on a comprehensive evaluation had fewer stone recurrences over three years than those who only received general dietary advice. 30

The majority of studies evaluating the effect of dietary measures on stone prevention are based on retrospective cohort analyses. Confounding the results of some trials is the fact that several dietary measures are assessed simultaneously. Summarized below are the results of relevant studies based on the specific dietary component.

**Fluid intake**

Increasing water intake has been repeatedly shown to decrease stone risk substantially in many large cohort studies in men and women. 31-35 In a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and observational studies on fluid intake, the risk reduction was in the range of 60–80%.16 Low urine volume was found to be a significant risk factor for recurrent stone disease in patients with idiopathic calcium stones and large fluid intake is suggested as the initial therapy for prevention of stone recurrences. 37

The recommendation of fluid intake between 2.5–3 L or a urine output of 2.5 L is based on a meta-analysis of two trials where water intake of 2 L per day or fluid intake sufficient to produce 2.5 L of urine reduced stone risk by 61%. 38-40 Recent investigators have found weight- and urine calcium-based volume recommendations may be more efficient in reducing hypercalciuria in all patients and this is a consideration for urologists treating stone disease. 41

Results on the protective effects of including fluids other than water in the diet are conflicting. Soft drinks, caffeinated beverages (such as coffee and tea), and alcohol have all been shown to have either some or no benefit in various trials. 42-47 Restriction of these fluids is not necessary as long as a good amount of the patient’s fluid intake consists of water. Lemonade is a dietary source rich in citrate and has been demonstrated to increase urinary citrate and urine volume. 48 The addition of citrate-containing fluids may be of additional benefit in the prevention of nephrolithiasis.

As part of the prevention discussion with patients regarding appropriate fluid consumption, the clinician should explore barriers as to why increasing fluid intake may be difficult. This might include a lack of knowledge regarding what is appropriate fluid intake or the importance of compensating for large insensible fluid losses, patients’ dislike of the taste of water, occupational barriers to fluid access, and urinary voiding difficulties. 49

**Recommendation:** All stone-formers should be counselled to achieve a daily urine output of 2.5 L (Level of Evidence 2, Grade B Recommendation).

**Calcium**

There is still a public misconception that patients with stone disease should restrict calcium in the diet. On the contrary, numerous studies have shown that patients with higher calcium intake have a reduced risk of stone formation compared to those with low calcium intake. In a large prospective cohort of men, calcium intake was measured and high intake was inversely correlated with kidney stone risk (relative risk [RR] 0.56). 32 In another large prospective cohort study of men, the relative risk of stone formation for highest and lowest quintiles of calcium intake was 0.69. 50 Higher calcium intake decreased the risk of stones in women by up to 28% in a prospective cohort study. 31

In a large prospective cohort of women, dietary calcium was compared to supplemental calcium. The women in the highest quintile of dietary calcium had significantly lower risk; however, risk was slightly increased in women who took supplemental calcium. 33 This may have been attributed to the timing of administration of calcium supplements since they were not consumed at mealtimes, which may have decreased its ability to chelate oxalate. Contrarily, another study of 96 000 young females found calcium was again associated with reduced risk of stones, but supplemental calcium was not associated with increased risk. 34

The recommended daily intake of calcium is 1000–1200 mg separated into two doses and ideally with meals. Calcium
would ideally be obtained through diet, as some studies suggest supplementation may increase cardiovascular risk.\(^{51}\) Where supplementation is required, calcium supplementation taken with meals is suggested, as this results in the greatest oxalate sequestration and is not associated with an increased risk of hypercalciuria.\(^{32}\)

Data regarding the relative merits of calcium citrate vs. calcium carbonate formulations are conflicting. There are no high-quality comparative trials to indicate one calcium preparation is superior. Calcium citrate may be associated with improved absorption when not taken with meals or in those patients with impaired gastric acid secretion.\(^{53,54}\) Both formulations have been shown to reduce urinary oxalate levels when taken with meals.\(^{55}\)

**Recommendations:**

1. **The goal for dietary calcium intake should be 1000–1200 mg/day (Level of Evidence 3, Grade C Recommendation).**
2. **Should calcium supplementation in a patient with calcium oxalate stone disease be required, calcium supplementation should be taken at mealtimes (Level of Evidence 3, Grade C Recommendation).**

**Vitamin D**

The role of vitamin D depletion in the development of urinary stone disease is not entirely clear and results from studies examining the impact of low vitamin D and vitamin D supplementation on stone disease are conflicting. The prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency in patients at a Canadian metabolic stone clinic was 80.2%.\(^{56}\) In other stone populations, inadequate vitamin D was noted in one-third of 236 recurrent calcium stone-formers.\(^{57}\)

Many studies have examined the association between low bone mineral density, osteopenia, osteoporosis, fracture risk, and calcium nephrolithiasis. Patients with renal stones have associated low bone mineral density in several studies, with increasing risk correlating with increasing levels of hypercalciuria.\(^{58-60}\) In a large retrospective cohort of over 50,000 patients and controls, nephrolithiasis was associated with fractures, with hazard ratios as high as 1.55.\(^{61}\) In assessment of patients with first-time or recurrent stones, a higher prevalence of osteoporosis and osteopenia was noted particularly in patients with vitamin D deficiency.\(^{62}\)

Calcium stone-formers were found to have a blunted PTH response on a low-calcium diet, resulting in decreased bone density.\(^{63}\) Hyperparathyroidism was detected in 26% of patients and 91% of these were secondary to inadequate vitamin D. Patients with inadequate vitamin D had an increased number of metabolic abnormalities as compared to patients with normal vitamin D levels.\(^{56}\)

Studies are conflicting when vitamin D levels were compared to urinary calcium excretion. Some studies noted an association between higher vitamin D levels and hypercalciuria,\(^{57,64}\) whereas other studies did not note an association between vitamin D and hypercalciuria\(^{65}\) or stone recurrence.\(^{16}\)

The impact of vitamin D supplementation on hypercalciuria and stone risk has also been assessed by multiple conflicting studies. In a retrospective review of 34 patients with stone disease, vitamin D and calcium were supplemented due to vitamin D insufficiency. Urinary calcium excretion with supplementation increased significantly (3.8 to 5.6 mmol/d) and 23.5% developed de novo hypercalciuria. Over 39 months median followup, 50% of patients developed stones compared to 11% of non-hypercalciuric patients.\(^{67}\) In a study of over 46,000 post-menopausal women aged 50–79 years randomized to calcium 1000 mg and 400 IU of vitamin D or placebo, there was an increased risk of nephrolithiasis, with a hazard ratio of 1.17.\(^{68}\) The authors reported within the treatment group a small improvement in hip bone density, but no reduction in hip fractures, although when adherence to study protocol was considered, a reduction in hip fracture of 29% was seen.\(^{69}\)

Other investigators have found vitamin D replenishment in patients with a history of nephrolithiasis does not impact hypercalciuria or stone risk. In patients with vitamin D insufficiency, calcium excretion did not change with vitamin D repletion based on 24-hour collections, and in some patients, it decreased.\(^{69}\) A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of high doses of vitamin D daily did not change urinary calcium excretion in healthy volunteers.\(^{70}\) Further investigators randomized 163 patients to vitamin D vs. placebo. Calcium intake was monitored and supplementation used to achieve total intake of 1200 mg daily. Urine and serum calcium levels were monitored. Hypercalciuria was not associated with vitamin D intake.\(^{71}\) In a small prospective cohort of stone patients with low vitamin D levels, vitamin D repletion did not translate to increased mean calcium excretion. A subset of patients had increased urinary calcium, along with increased urinary sodium likely reflective of dietary variability.\(^{72}\) In a study of 53 post-menopausal women without a history of kidney stones, one year of supplementation with 1000 mg of calcium and 400 IU of vitamin D did not change urinary calcium excretion.\(^{73}\)

**Recommendation: In calcium oxalate stone-formers with documented vitamin D deficiency, repletion is appropriate, but monitoring for hypercalciuria on 24-hour urine in followup is suggested (Level of Evidence 2-3, Grade C Recommendation).**

**Animal protein**

In some populations, high animal protein was associated with a slight increase in the risk of nephrolithiasis.\(^{50}\) When men were randomized to a diet with reduced animal protein (52 g/day) and sodium (50 mmol/day) with normal calcium
intake, this resulted in fewer stone episodes than a reduced calcium diet.\textsuperscript{74} In a large prospective cohort of men, dietary protein was directly associated with the risk of stone formation (RR 1.33).\textsuperscript{32} In a prospective trial of idiopathic calcium stone-formers and controls, dietary records indicated increased consumption of protein (animal and vegetable) and purine-rich foods in stone-formers. Urinary oxalate and calcium were higher and citrate lower in the stone-forming group, while uric acid was similar. Animal protein affected urinary oxalate only minimally.\textsuperscript{75} In another study, calcium and uric acid levels in urine were increased with dietary intake of protein.\textsuperscript{76}

Other studies did not find a strong correlation between animal protein intake and risk of nephrolithiasis. Ninety-nine patients with calcium oxalate stones were randomized to low-protein, high-fiber diet vs. no intervention. All patients were instructed to increase fluid intake and maintain a diet adequate in calcium. The patients in the low-protein, high-fiber diet group had increased stone formation compared to the control group.\textsuperscript{77} In other large dietary cohort studies, animal protein intake failed to demonstrate increased risk of stones in women.\textsuperscript{78}

A diet high in animal protein was found to be associated with increased excretion of undissociated uric acid due to a reduction in urinary pH, as well as a reduction in citrate excretion, predisposing these individuals to uric acid nephrolithiasis.\textsuperscript{79} A vegetarian diet has been demonstrated to reduce the risk of uric acid crystallization by 93% compared to a typical Western diet.\textsuperscript{79}

Different types of animal protein were compared in a randomized crossover study in 15 healthy volunteers using beef, chicken, and fish. Fish had high purine content and resulted in increased urinary uric acid excretion. Beef intake resulted in the highest saturation of calcium oxalate compared to chicken, but was similar to fish.\textsuperscript{80} Alternatively, the addition of vitamin C has been reported to reduce the risk of uric acid crystallization.\textsuperscript{81} In a small cohort of patients, eliminating fruits and vegetables from the diet resulted in increased urinary potassium, magnesium, citrate, and increased urine calcium. In 26 stone-forming patients with hypocitraturia, introducing these foods resulted in increased excretion of citrate, potassium, and magnesium and reduction in the saturation of calcium oxalate and calcium phosphate.\textsuperscript{82}

Recommendation: Patients with recurrent calcium nephrolithiasis should aim for sodium intake of 1500 mg daily and not exceed 2300 mg daily (Level I-2 evidence, Grade B Recommendation).

Fruits and vegetables

Low dietary intake of fiber, fruit, and vegetables increases the risk of kidney stones in women.\textsuperscript{83} In another population-based study, high dietary fruit intake was shown to decrease stone risk.\textsuperscript{84} In a small cohort of patients, eliminating fruits and vegetables from the diet resulted in decreased urine potassium, magnesium, citrate, and increased urine calcium. In 26 stone-forming patients with hypocitraturia, introducing these foods resulted in increased excretion of citrate, potassium, and magnesium and reduction in the saturation of calcium oxalate and calcium phosphate.\textsuperscript{85}

Recommendation: For kidney stone patients, a diet high in fiber, fruits, and vegetables may offer a small protective effect against stone formation (Level of Evidence 2-3, Grade C Recommendation).

Vitamin C

In population-based studies, intake of over 1000 mg of vitamin C daily caused a slight increase in the risk of nephrolithiasis.\textsuperscript{50,59} Vitamin C supplementation of 1–2 g was associated with increased urinary oxalate in stone-forming patients.\textsuperscript{86,87} It is theorized that the excess vitamin C is converted to oxalate.

Recommendation: Vitamin C supplementation of more than 1000 mg daily is not recommended due to the associated risk of hyperoxaluria and nephrolithiasis (Level Evidence 2-3, Grade C Recommendation).

Specific prophylaxis based on stone composition

In the following section, “index patients” have been created based on the predominant stone composition. Potential metabolic abnormalities will be described and specific diet and medical interventions will be highlighted for each clinical scenario.

Index patient 1: Calcium oxalate or mixed calcium oxalate/calcium phosphate stone

Patients with calcium oxalate or combined calcium oxalate-calcium phosphate stones may have normal 24-hour urine testing, hypercalciuria, hyperoxaluria, hypocitraturia, hyperuricosuria, low urine volume, or a combination of any of these features.\textsuperscript{88} All patients should be counselled regard-
ing previously described general dietary recommendations. Based on 24-hour urine results, the following interventions can be considered (Fig. 1).

**Thiazide diuretics**

Thiazide diuretics have been investigated in a number of studies for kidney stone prevention. In patients with recurrent calcium stones with and without metabolic abnormalities, thiazide use decreases urinary calcium and decreases stone recurrence.89-96

Dosages used in clinical trials are hydrochlorothiazide (25 mg orally, twice daily; 50 mg orally, once daily), chlorthalidone (25 mg orally, once daily), and indapamide (2.5 mg orally, once daily). The dose-dependent side effects of thiazide diuretics include hypokalemia, hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia, hyperuricemia, hypomagnesemia, and hypocitraturia. Combining thiazide diuretics with potassium citrate or potassium chloride prevents hypokalemia and hypochloremic metabolic alkalosis.97,98

Alkali citrates have been demonstrated in clinical trials to decrease urinary calcium and decrease the rate of stone recurrence in calcium stone-formers (Level of Evidence 1-3, Grade A-B Recommendation).

**Allopurinol**

Studies regarding hyperuricosuria in calcium oxalate stone disease show mixed results. In a large cross-sectional study of stone-forming patients, urinary uric acid levels were not associated with increased risk for calcium oxalate stone formation.109 However, in a double-blind study of allopurinol in

---

**Fig. 1. Specific dietary and medical treatments for patients with calcium oxalate or mixed calcium oxalate/calcium phosphate stones.** Calcium intake 1200 mg daily (with meals), moderation of foods high in oxalate, pair oxalate and calcium-containing foods.
prevention of calcium oxalate stones in patients with hyper-
uricosuria and normocalciuria, the allopurinol group had
81% fewer stones compared to placebo and a delayed time
to stone recurrence.110 In a prospective, blinded, placebo-
control trial of allopurinol in patients with calcium oxalate
stones and elevated uric acid levels (serum or urine), 92
patients were randomized and allopurinol was effective in
reducing stone formation in 61% of individuals,111 however,
on meta-analysis allopurinol was only beneficial in patients
with hyperuricosuria.112
The typical allopurinol dosage is 200–300 mg daily in
single or divided doses. Major side effects include rash,
gastrointestinal upset, abnormal liver enzyme levels, and
prolonged elimination in renal disease. Febuxostat has been
studied in the setting of calcium stones and hyperuricosuria.
Despite greater reduction in 24-hour urinary uric acid level
when compared to allopurinol, there was no change in stone
size or number at six months. At this point, there is insuf-
ficient evidence to support its use in calcium stone patients.113
In patients with calcium oxalate stones, hyperuricosuria,
and normocalciuria, allopurinol is effective in reducing stone
recurrence. Allopurinol was not effective in prevention of
nephrolithiasis in patients with normal urinary uric acid
levels (Level of Evidence 1-2, Grade B Recommendation).

Index patient 2: Pure calcium phosphate stone

Patients who form pure calcium phosphate stones may have
an underlying condition predisposing them to this type of
stone formation, such as distal renal tubular acidosis, pri-
mary hyperparathyroidism, chronic urinary tract infection,
hypercalciuria, and/or hyperphosphaturia.

Patients with primary hyperparathyroidism have a sig-
nificantly increased risk of renal stone disease compared to
controls.114,115 Even in patients with normal serum calcium
levels, an elevated parathyroid hormone can increase kid-
ney stone risk and result in decreased bone mineral den-
sity.116,117 Surgery for primary hyperparathyroidism results
in decreased stone formation, a decrease in serum calcium,
and an improvement of bone mineral density.118

Patients with distal renal tubular acidosis (dRTA) or med-
ullary sponge kidney may present with recurrent apatite
stones, nephrolithiasis, systemic acidosis, osteoporosis,
failure to thrive, or sensorineural hearing loss.119 Patients
with dRTA generally have underlying hypocitraturia and are
treated with alkali citrate.120,121 Potassium citrate has dem-
onstrated superior effects on urinary indices as compared
to sodium citrate in patients with incomplete dRTA.108 In
patients with demonstrated dRTA treatment with 60–80 mEq
daily of potassium citrate resulted in increased urine pH
and uric acid, decreased urine calcium, and significantly
reduced stone formation.122 When administered to patients
with medullary sponge kidney, potassium citrate was associ-
ated with a rise in urinary citrate, a decrease in urinary
calcium and a reduction in stone formation.123

Suggestive biochemical features of primary hyperparathy-
roidism and distal RTA are listed in Table 1.

Patients with recurrent urinary tract infection and calcium
phosphate stone formation may have bacterial persistence of
a urease-producing organism causing increased urine pH and
brushite stone formation. Infection should be treated appro-
priately and stone material removed to avoid reinfection.

Index patient 3: Uric acid stone

Uric acid stone-formers are at significant risk of recurrence.
Uric acid stones may form as the result of a number of under-
lying metabolic disorders, including obesity, metabolic syn-
drome, diabetes mellitus, gout, excessive bicarbonate loss
due to high output bowel disease, myeloproliferative disor-
ders, and tumour lysis syndrome.5,124 In several studies, factors
that increase the likelihood or risk of uric acid stones include
older age, increased weight, increased serum uric acid level,
decreased urinary calcium, and acidic urine.125-127 Uric acid
stone formation is most commonly associated with low uri-
nary pH and low urine volume rather than hyperuricosuria.109

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Suggestive features</th>
<th>Investigations</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary hyperparathyroidism</td>
<td>↑ or ↑ N serum calcium</td>
<td>Serum calcium, PTH, vitamin D</td>
<td>Treat vitamin D deficiency Referral to endocrinology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>↑ or ↑ N serum PTH Hypercalciuria Calcium oxalate or calcium phosphate stone Decreased bone mineral density</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal renal tubular acidosis</td>
<td>Urine pH &gt;5.8 ↓ serum bicarbonate ↓ serum potassium Pure apatite stone Hypocitraturia</td>
<td>Serum electrolytes Urine pH Ammonium chloride load test*</td>
<td>Potassium citrate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Optional; PTH: parathyroid hormone; ↑: high; ↑N: at the high end of normal range; ↓: low.
Focus of treatment for uric acid stones should, therefore, primarily be to correct urine pH above 5.5 and increase urine volume rather than institute treatment of uric acid production.\(^\text{124}\) Excellent success with in situ stone dissolution has been demonstrated using potassium citrate.\(^\text{128,129}\)

Many studies have now been published on metabolic syndrome and the risk of kidney stones (particularly uric acid stones).\(^\text{130}\) Furthermore, the association between metabolic syndrome and low urinary pH is well-established.\(^\text{127,131-133}\) The underlying insulin resistance in these patients leads to impaired glutamine metabolism, ammonia production, and ammonium excretion. This results in unbuffered hydrogen ions and a lowering of the urinary pH.\(^\text{134}\) Based on the number of metabolic syndrome traits, the risk of stone formation may go up two-fold.\(^\text{135}\) Dietary and medical prophylaxis options are shown in Fig. 2.

**In patients with uric acid stones, alkalinization of the urine targeting a urine pH of 6.5 is the first-line therapy. Allopurinol may be used as adjunctive therapy in patients with hyperuricemia or hyperuricosuria (Level of Evidence 1-3, Grade B Recommendation).**

**Index patient 4: Cystine stone**

Cystinuria is a common genetic disorder affecting 1/7000 individuals. Cystine stone-formers often present in childhood or as teenagers and maybe plagued by recurrent stone formation and the need for repetitive surgical intervention, especially if prophylaxis is not optimized.

Patients with cystinuria should be encouraged to maintain a urine output of at least 3 L daily (often demanding oral intake of 3.5–4 L of fluid). This is a critical component of cystine stone management, as even with adjunctive medical therapy, the success of stone prevention will be poor in patients who do not comply with increased fluid intake.\(^\text{136,137}\) Sodium restriction is advised for all patients with cystinuria because sodium and cystine excretion are associated.\(^\text{138}\) In small studies, sodium restriction significantly decreased cystine excretion,\(^\text{138-140}\) reflecting this coupling of cystine to parallel sodium transport in the kidney. Elevated dietary protein is associated with increased urinary cystine\(^\text{141}\) and a reduction in overall protein intake can decrease urinary cystine levels.

The solubility of cystine increases significantly between urine pH of 7.0 to 7.5. Urinary alkalinization is, therefore, the initial step in medical therapy, with the goal of achieving a urine pH of greater than 7.0.\(^\text{142}\) A urinary pH of greater than 7.5, however, should be avoided, as this may promote calcium phosphate stone formation. Acetazolamide may be used as an adjunct to urinary alkalinization when potassium citrate alone is ineffective.\(^\text{143}\) If alkalinizing agents fail to adequately control cystine stone formation, thiol binding agents, such as penicillamine 1–2 g or tiopronin 800–1200 mg in daily divided doses, may be used.\(^\text{136,144-146}\) Side effects from penicillamine can be significant and include fever, arthralgias, rash, dysgeusia, leucopenia, and proteinuria. Tiopronin is not currently available in Canada. To monitor thiol drug therapy, urinary supersaturation of cystine or
cystine capacity may be monitored and used to determine minimum effective dosage for individual patients. In a small study, captopril was compared to fluid and alkalinization in prevention of cystine stones. Stone formation was reduced, but significance was not achieved and captopril is not currently recommended for cystine stone prevention. Dietary and medical prevention options are shown in Fig. 3.

Long-term compliance in patients with cystinuria can be difficult to achieve and consideration should be given to management of these patients at specialized clinics and close follow-up continued until disease stability is achieved.

In patients with cystine stones, alkalinization of the urine targeting a urine pH of 7–7.5 is the initial therapy. Thiol-binding agents should be considered second-line therapy (Level of Evidence 3–4, Grade C Recommendation).

Index patient 5: Struvite stone

While strictly speaking, struvite stone formation is not considered a metabolic condition, medical therapy can have a role to play in prevention. Struvite stones occur as a consequence of urinary infection with urease-producing organisms. Surgical removal of stone material is the standard therapy. Whenever possible, foreign bodies, such as urinary stents or catheters, should be removed. The urease inhibitor acetohydroxamic acid (AHA) has been studied with limited success and not insignificant side effects. This agent is not currently available in Canada. A better-tolerated prevention strategy may be low-dose suppressive antibiotic therapy, but the risk of bacterial resistance should be taken into consideration.

Summary

For patients at risk of recurrent renal stones, a detailed medical evaluation and an individualized approach to dietary and pharmacological prevention are important aspects of their care. The frequency of follow-up and the need for repeat metabolic testing is not clearly defined in the literature and must, therefore, also be individualized. In patients where specific medical prophylaxis has been prescribed, re-evaluations with repeat metabolic testing within six months and yearly thereafter to monitor treatment efficacy and side effects are recommended. Periodic imaging is also recommended for those harbouring small asymptomatic stones.

Urologists, in addition to providing state-of-the-art surgical care to our patients, should be capable of providing up-to-date metabolic assessment and optimal prevention strategies as part of a comprehensive approach to stone management.
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