Guidelines on Prostate Biopsy Methodology

Authors: Assaad El-Hakim and Sabri Moussa

Reviewers: Chris Morash, Robert Siemens, Larry Goldenberg, Robert Nam, Armen Aprikian, Jonathan Izawa, Louis Lacombe, Toni Finelli, Darrel Drachenberg

Potential conflict of interest for authors: None

Corresponding author:

Assaad El-Hakim, MD, FRCSC  
Assistant Professor of Urology  
McGill University  
175 Ch. Stillview, Suite 200  
Pointe-Claire, QC, H9R 4S3  
Tel: 514-697-9658  
Fax: 514-695-0431  
Email: assaad.elhakim@mcgill.ca
Introduction:

Transrectal ultrasound prostate biopsy (TRUS Bx) is increasingly performed by urologists. Lee et al reported on the diversity in TRUS Bx practice and training in United Kingdom\(^1\). Fifty-six percent of the surveyed urologists were actively involved in TRUS Bx and 68% of them did not think they received enough training. There is a wide variation in patient preparation (antibiotic prophylaxis regimens and analgesia used), biopsy schemes and indications for repeat biopsy. The lack of standardized guidelines for TRUS Bx highlights the necessity of a structured program for training the new generation of urologists.

A. PATIENTS PREPARATION

Patients should be informed of the risks and benefits of the Transrectal Ultrasound guided prostate Biopsy (TRUS Bx) and informed written consent should be obtained.

1. Antiplatelets and Anticoagulants

Most practitioners recommend discontinuation of antiplatelet agents (Aspirin (ASA) and products containing aspirin such as Asacol; Clopidogrel; Ticlodipine and Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDS)) before TRUS Bx to minimize the risk of bleeding complications. It is recommended to stop the use of ASA/NSAIDS 3-5 days before the Bx. Clopidogrel needs to be stopped 7 days and Ticlodipine needs to be stopped 14 days before TRUS Bx. This practice is based on the experience of interventions at other sites, which may or may not be applicable to prostate sampling. Of note, prospective studies on TRUS Bx with continued use of low-dose Aspirin revealed that there was no increased risk of overall bleeding or haematuria\(^2-4\) (level of evidence 2).

The lack of evidence on post TRUS Bx haemorrhagic complications in patients taking warfarin, and the perceived high risk of occurrence of such complications would suggest a conservative stance with regard to discontinuation of warfarin prior to biopsy. It is suggested to discontinue warfarin except in those patients at high risk of thrombo-embolic events at which
time bridging therapy with heparin is suggested. A survey among urologists and radiologists found that 84% of urologists stopped warfarin 4 days before TRUS Bx and 95% of radiologists stopped it 5 days before TRUS Bx. International Normalized Ratio (INR) below 1.5 is accepted for most elective procedures. The decision whether to stop anticoagulants depends on the indications for anticoagulation and the risks of thrombosis in a particular patient. This decision should be discussed with the patient and the most responsible physician managing the anticoagulant. A number of recommendations were offered for the perioperative management of patients on warfarin therapy according to the risk of thrombosis and indications for anticoagulation. Patients who had acute venous or arterial thromboembolism during the month before the procedure, may have the procedure as inpatient and be switched to bridging therapy with intravenous low molecular weight heparin before and after the procedure. Those with other indications (mechanical heart valve, recurrent venous thromboembolism or nonvalvular atrial fibrillation) and low risk, may need post biopsy subcutaneous heparin. The relation between warfarin use and the frequency of bleeding complications after TRUS Bx was reported in a prospective study of 1000 patients. 49 patients continuously used warfarin before and after the biopsy. The prevalence and severity of bleeding complications were assessed by a questionnaire 10 days after biopsy. There was no significant difference in the severity of bleeding between patients taking warfarin and controls (level of evidence 2). Limitations of the aforementioned study include non randomized design, patients had either 6 or 4-core biopsies, life threatening hemorrhagic complications may have been missed due to small sample size, recall bias must be considered as complications were entered retrospectively 10 days after biopsy, and patient on warfarin may underestimate severity of hemorrhagic complications. In order to change the practice of stopping anti-coagulants before TRUS Bx, further studies are needed. Since these studies are currently unavailable best practice would entail a safe conservative approach detailed above.

**Recommendations:**

*The indication for the anti-platelet agent has to be reviewed with the patient, his primary care physician or cardiologist and only after that should the anti-platelet agent be stopped.*

*Antiplatelets (i.e. ASA, Clopidogrel and Ticlodipine) should be stopped 7-14 days prior to biopsy (Grade of recommendation B).*
Anticoagulants (i.e. warfarin) should be stopped 4 to 5 days prior. Bridging therapy with IV heparin or low molecular weight heparin should be considered in high-risk patients (Grade of recommendation B).

2. Cleansing Enema

Patients may be advised to self-administer cleansing enema at home before biopsy. Enema use was reported by about 80% of urologists surveyed about patient preparation for TRUS Bx\textsuperscript{8,9}. This may produce a superior acoustic window for prostate imaging as a result of decreasing the amount of feces in the rectum, and may be more comfortable for some individuals. The effect on infection reduction is debatable. Many large centers have abandoned the use of cleansing enemas citing lack of data supporting its usage and patient cost and inconvenience. To address the role of enema in prevention of infection, Lindert et al analyzed many variables, including bacteriuria, bacteraemia and organisms cultured from the biopsy needle in a randomized study of 50 men (25 received pre-biopsy enema and 25 no enema)\textsuperscript{10}. Bacteraemia was reported in 4% of patients given an enema compared to 28% of patients who had no enema. However, bacteraemia was asymptomatic in both groups. Biopsy needle cultures had the same incidence of positive findings. The authors concluded that asymptomatic bacteraemia may be significantly minimized by a pre-biopsy enema independent of antibiotic administration\textsuperscript{10} (Level of evidence 1). The clinical significance of these findings is yet to be defined.

Recommendation: There is no strong evidence to recommend for or against the use of enema (Grade of recommendation A).

3. Antibiotic Prophylaxis

Different regimens using both oral and intravenous antibiotics have been studied\textsuperscript{11-17}. The post biopsy duration of oral antibiotics is controversial. Several studies examined the use of one dose of an oral fluoroquinolone 30 to 60 minutes before biopsy with continued therapy for 2 to 3
days\textsuperscript{13,15} (Level of evidence 2) versus single dose oral fluoroquinolones\textsuperscript{14,17} (Level of evidence 1). Both regimens resulted in minimal infectious complications. Another accepted regimen is intravenous Ampicillin (Vancomycin in cases of Penicillin sensitivity) and Gentamicin before procedure followed by oral fluoroquinolones for 2-3 days. The latter regimen is suggested for patients at risk of developing endocarditis or infection of cardiac prosthetics such as pacemakers and implanted cardiac defibrillators\textsuperscript{16} (Level of evidence 4). It has also been shown that antibiotic prophylaxis lowers the risk of infection with multiple core biopsies. The widespread use of fluoroquinolones in treatment of urinary tract infection increased the rate of fluoroquinolone-resistant E. Coli. It was reported that the causative pathogen in urinary tract infection after TRUS Bx was mainly E. Coli with high resistance rates to fluoroquinolones\textsuperscript{18}. Adding intravenous aminoglycoside to fluoroquinolones prophylactic regimens may minimize the incidence of urinary tract infection after TRUS Bx\textsuperscript{18,19} (Level of evidence 3), in institutions where this problem has been documented.

**Recommendation:** Broad base gram-negative antibiotic prophylaxis (e.g. fluorquinolone) should be administered prior to biopsy and may be continued for 2-3 days post biopsy (Grade of recommendation B). However many centers have moved towards shorter courses of antibacterial prophylaxis especially with the availability of single dose long acting fluoroquinolones citing patient cost and inconvenience as well as paucity of data demonstrating superiority with multi day dosing regimens.

4. **Analgesia**

Although TRUS Bx is well tolerated, it is associated with pain when performed without anesthesia\textsuperscript{20} (Level of evidence 3), especially with increased number of cores performed. The most commonly used anaesthetic is Lidocaine either in gel suspension or an injectable preparation (periprostatic nerve block). Periprostatic nerve block (PPNB) requires 1% or 2% Lidocaine without Epinephrine, and a long spinal needle (7-inch, 22-gauge). Various methodologies for injection sites and quantities have been described, and the most quoted and used protocol uses 5 ml of the Lidocaine injected bilaterally in region of the prostatic vascular pedicle at the base of the prostate just lateral to the junction between the prostate and seminal
vesicle\textsuperscript{21}. Intrarectal Lidocaine gel failed to show improvement in pain control over placebo\textsuperscript{22}(Level of evidence 1). However, several studies documented that periprostatic infiltration with Lidocaine around the nerve bundles provides satisfactory pain control\textsuperscript{23-25}(Level of evidence 1). Pain scores are significantly decreased from an average of 3.7 to 5.5 in controls compared to 0.5 to 2.4 for PPNB. The morbidity associated with PPNB was first assessed in a prospective study that reported no significant difference in the incidence of urethral bleeding, rectal bleeding or fever in the PPNB group compared to control group. However, asymptomatic bacteriuria was significantly reported in the PPNB group\textsuperscript{26}(Level of evidence 1). In an attempt to circumvent PPNB, different methods of analgesia were reported, namely oral narcotic analgesia and intramuscular non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs \textsuperscript{27,28}(Level of evidence 1). Pain control with oral and intramuscular analgesics was not statistically different from control groups, therefore these methods were abandoned. The analgesic effect of intrarectal diclofenac suppository was also assessed in randomized control trials. Diclofenac suppositories (100 mg) significantly reduced pain scores compared with placebo but to a lesser degree than PPNB did. The average pain score with diclofenac suppository was 2.8 to 3.4 which compared to 4.9 to 5.9 for placebo\textsuperscript{29,30}(Level of evidence 1). Therefore, PPNB provides better analgesia than NSAID suppositories should be considered as a first choice\textsuperscript{23-25}(Level of evidence 1).

**Recommendation: Periprostatic nerve block is highly recommended especially with an extended core biopsy scheme (Grade of recommendation A).**

5. **Patient Positioning**

Patients are usually placed in the left lateral decubitus position with knees and hips flexed 90 degrees. The buttocks should be flush with the edge of the table to allow manipulation of the probe and biopsy gun without obstruction. Depending on surgeon handedness and preference the right lateral decubitus or lithotomy position can be used (Level of evidence 4).

B. **LABELLING AND PROCESSING**

There is controversy around the processing and submission of TRUS Bx specimens. One option is placing multiple ipsilateral biopsies in a single container (left and right sided
This often entangles the biopsies and may result in 40% of the tissue surface area being lost, with only 5° shift in angle of the needle biopsy within the tissue block (74). This increases equivocal reports, which then require repeat biopsy. A second option is using multipack container kits (73,75) which are technically more complex and costly (76), but in at least one study, decreases the equivocal diagnosis rate (atypical glands and ASAP)(77)(Level of evidence 3). Many leading genitourinary pathologists recommend multipack containers to reduce errors and subsequent risk of repeat biopsy. With the advancement of image guided therapies and future focal therapies (brachytherapy, cryotherapy, HIFU) as well as nerve sparing radical surgery, location of cancer at biopsy has become important and assumes a prominent role in pre-treatment planning.

C. PROSTATE BIOPSY SCHEMES

Prostate examination with evaluation of prostate volume, imaging of both transverse and sagittal planes prior to the biopsy is necessary. The examination usually starts at the base of the gland and extends to the apex, noting the location and characteristics of any lesion (i.e. hypoechoic and hyperechoic lesions, calcifications, contour abnormalities, and cystic structures). Seminal vesicles are imaged as well looking for evidence of invasion with loss of SV angle, SV dilatation, echogenicity, etc.

Material for histopathological examination obtained by Ultrasound-guided transrectal 18G core biopsy has become the standard. A spring biopsy device or biopsy gun passed through the needle guide attached to the ultrasound probe is most often used. Biopsy needle path has the best visualization in the sagittal plane and with the advent of biplanar ultrasound technologies simultaneous transverse and sagittal imaging is possible and can be helpful in needle localization and placement. The biopsy gun advances the needle 0.5 cm and samples the subsequent 1.5 cm of tissue with the tip extending 0.5 cm beyond the area sampled31.
Biopsies are obtained as lesion-guided or systematic cores. Lesion-guided biopsies can be used for palpable nodules or ultrasound detected lesions. In one study, lesion-guided biopsies using contrast enhanced color Doppler detected cancers as much as 10 times that of systematic biopsies alone\(^3\), but the method has not yet gained widespread acceptance or availability.

The limitations in cancer detection with lesion guided biopsy, has led to the emergence of systematic TRUS Bx techniques. Since it was first described in 1989\(^3\), there is no consensus on the ideal number of cores and location for the best cancer yield. The standard sextant scheme gave rise to a broad variety of biopsy methods that can be generally grouped under the widely accepted 5-region anatomical model. The latter defines 2 paramedian regions (traditional sextant), 2 lateral regions, and 1 central region.

1. **Sextant Biopsy Scheme**

   The original systematic biopsy method is the sextant biopsy scheme (one core from the base, mid, and apex bilaterally)\(^3\). With this scheme the cores were taken through the parasagittal plane, which resulted in some false-negative results\(^3\) (Level of evidence 2). Up to 30% of cancers were missed by the standard sextant biopsy\(^3\) (Level of evidence 2).

2. **Extended Biopsy Schemes**

   To improve the cancer detection rate, Stamey et al suggested laterally directed biopsies as 75% of prostate cancers originate from the peripheral zone\(^3\). Five region prostate biopsy in which additional cores are obtained from the far lateral peripheral zone and midline in addition to the standard sextant biopsy was described in 1997\(^3\). Several groups have published results showing higher cancer detection rates with the 5-region prostate biopsy scheme compared to standard sextant technique for primary biopsy (cores ranged from 10 to 13)\(^,3\)\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^4\)\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^4\)\(^3\). An exhaustive systematic review of the literature of cancer detection rates with different extended prostate biopsy schemes compared to the standard sextant scheme was published by Eichler et al\(^4\) (Level of evidence 1). Eighty-seven studies were reviewed with a total of 20,698
patients. The number of cores reported in individual studies ranged from 6 to 22 cores. Schemes with 12 cores showed a relative positivity rate of 1.31 compared to standard sextant scheme. The highest relative positivity rate (1.48) was reported with the 18-22 schemes of the 5-region biopsy pattern. However, multivariate analysis revealed no significant difference between 18 to 22 core schemes, 12-core schemes or 10-core schemes in cancer detection. Adverse events reported with extended core schemes (10 to 12 cores) were not statistically significant from that of sextant schemes. However, schemes with more than 12 cores resulted in significant increases in TRUS Bx adverse events. Extended prostate biopsy schemes consisting of 12 cores, standard sextant biopsy scheme and laterally directed cores, strike the balance between cancer detection and adverse events (Level of evidence 1).

Pepe and Argona evaluated prostate cancer detection rate in patients who underwent saturation prostate biopsy (24 to 37 cores) as primary biopsy. Cancer detection rate was not statistically significant with saturation biopsy (46.9%) compared to 12-core biopsy (39.8%; P=0.3) and the 18-core biopsy (49%; P=0.6) (Level of evidence 3). Saturation prostate biopsy is not recommended as a primary biopsy scheme as it did not increase prostate cancer detection rates compared to 12-core biopsy schemes. Toi et al suggested adding targeted biopsy in the presence of prostate lesions to the systematic biopsy schemes to improve cancer detection rates. The presence of a lesion increased the likelihood of cancer detection (57.8% vs 30.8%). Biopsies from these lesions have a greater volume of cancer detected in each positive core and a higher grade of cancer.

**Recommendation:** An extended biopsy scheme of 10-12 cores is recommended to optimize the ratio of cancer detection to adverse post biopsy events. Lesion guided biopsy can be added to further optimize cancer detection. (Grade of recommendation A).

3. Impact of Prostatic Volume on Prostate Biopsy Technique

Calculating prostate gland volume is a routine part of every TRUS Bx session and an indirect relationship has been demonstrated between prostate volume and the likelihood of detecting prostate cancer. Prostate cancer detection with standard sextant scheme in glands larger than 50 cc was 23% compared to 38% in smaller glands (Level of evidence 3). Different
studies reported that the cancer detection rates are related conversely to the prostate gland volume: the larger the gland, the lower cancer detection rates whatever the biopsy scheme used\textsuperscript{49-51}(Level of evidence 3). Several mathematical models (nomograms and tables) were developed to determine the minimum number of cores necessary to preclude missing significant cancers in various size glands over a wide range of serum PSA and patient age\textsuperscript{52}. Generally a minimum of 10 cores was found to be necessary for prostate volumes 30 cc and above.

**Recommendations:** Mathematical formulas that account for prostate size, patient age, and PSA range are not required provided an extended biopsy scheme is applied (Grade of recommendation B).

4. **Transition-Zone Biopsies**

Transition zone is the site of origin for about 15% of prostate adenocarcinomas; however, isolated transition-zone tumors detected on prostate biopsy are uncommon. Cancer detection rates increases by 1.8% to 4.3% upon adding transition-zone biopsies to the primary biopsy but there is little evidence to recommend routine transition-zone sampling\textsuperscript{53-56}(Level of evidence 2). Transition-Zone biopsies may be indicated in 2 situations. First, in men with gland size of more than 50 mL (15% increases in cancer yield)\textsuperscript{43}(Level of evidence 2). Second, in patients in whom systematic biopsies failed to reveal cancer with markedly elevated or rapidly increasing PSA \textsuperscript{54}(Level of evidence 2).

**Recommendations:** Transition zone biopsies are seldom necessary and add little to the overall detection rate of an extended biopsy scheme (Grade of recommendation B).

5. **Repeated biopsies**

Negative prostatic biopsy with rising PSA levels or the presence of suspicious prostatic lesions, High-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) or atypical small acinar proliferation (ASAP) are challenging dilemmas facing urologists. Cancer detection rates in repeat biopsy populations depend on the number and location of cores obtained. In one study,
cancer detection rates were 39% and 28% in patients who underwent prior standard sextant and extended biopsy schemes, respectively\(^57\) (Level of evidence 3).

\[\text{a) High-Grade Prostatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia (HGPIN)}\]

High-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia is thought to be a precursor to invasive adenocarcinoma\(^58\). During the sextant biopsy scheme era, the cancer detection rate on repeat biopsy for HGPIN was 25% to 70\(^{59-63}\). HGPIN may be considered a component of a limited field effect, and its presence suggests that cancer might exist elsewhere in the gland. Sampling of the prostate with extended biopsy schemes is more likely to find that cancer. With the introduction of extended biopsies the cancer detection rates on first repeat biopsy for HGPIN decreased dramatically to 2.3\(^{64}\), 4\(^{65}\), 4.5\(^{66}\) (Level of evidence 3) in three contemporary series, which is no higher than the rate of cancer detection on repeat biopsy of normal findings on first biopsy. In the current era of extended biopsy schemes, HGPIN is no longer considered a strict indication for repeat biopsy and patients should be followed clinically with PSA and DRE.

\[\text{b) Atypical Small Acinar Proliferation (ASAP)}\]

ASAP findings should be viewed differently than HGPIN. ASAP is a focus of morphologic malignant cells with equivocal basal cell layer\(^67\). ASAP may result from insufficient material or tissue processing and the pathologist is uncomfortable labelling it invasive cancer. Cancer detection rates on repeat biopsy for ASAP found on sextant biopsies was 40% to 50\(^{58}\). Using an extended core biopsy scheme the cancer detection rate remained as high as 36\(^{66}\) to 59.1\(^{68}\) on first repeat biopsy and 16% on second repeat biopsy\(^{66}\). Because most cancers were found in the same region as the ASAP on repeat biopsy, and because 20% to 45% of cancers can be found outside the area of ASAP\(^{62,68,69}\), a systematic re-biopsy of the prostate is recommended with additional targeted cores (Level of evidence 3).
Different prostate biopsy techniques were used to minimize false negative biopsies in repeat biopsy populations.

- Saturation biopsy is an aggressive biopsy scheme with as many as 45 cores obtained. The incidence of prostate cancer at 2\textsuperscript{nd} biopsy using saturation biopsy scheme versus 18-core set was 22.6\% versus 10.9\% (P=0.02). At 3\textsuperscript{rd} biopsy, the incidence of prostate cancer with saturation biopsy scheme versus 18-core set was 6.2\% versus 0\% (P=0.01)\textsuperscript{45} (Level of evidence 3). This technique requires regional or general anaesthesia and may require hospital admission. \textit{Saturation biopsy may be considered in high risk cases (e.g. rising PSA, abnormal DRE, persistent ASAP) with at least 2 previous negative extended biopsies (Grade of recommendation B).}

- Transperineal template technique is another aggressive scheme for repeat biopsy. In one study a mean of 15.1 biopsy samples were obtained with a cancer detection rate of 43\% in a high risk group of patients (Level of evidence 3).

\textit{Recommendations: ASAP lesions are cancerous until proven otherwise and should undergo repeat biopsy (Grade of recommendation B).}

\textit{Repeat biopsy may no longer be indicated for HGPIN lesions in the era of extended core biopsy, unless the patient has an increase in PSA or change on DRE (Grade of recommendation B).}
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